

Getting to Adam through Family Search

This document is public domain; and I'm not going to attach my name to it. I want it freely quoted and linked to. I want it spread around and used.

Mark My Words

<https://amazon.com/author/science>

--

My Official Version of this booklet on Kindle:

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07KVM3BBX>

--

The Official Web Pages:

<https://scientific-proof-of-god.com/getting-to-adam/>

<https://markme.us/getting-to-adam/>

--

Family Search is a genealogy program produced by the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

This is the free public domain PDF version of "Getting to Adam through Family Search" for the masses, for those who can't afford to buy the official version on Kindle. Use as you see fit; but please, give credit where credit is due and spread around a link or two.

Mark My Words

Table of Contents

Getting to Adam through Family Search.....	1
Introduction	3
FROM ME TO ADAM	5
Making Sense of the Line I Inherited on Family Search	21
Charlemagne.....	23
Found Another Line and Family Group Sheet for Clodion	35
THE OTHER LINE TO ADAM	38
GRIPE SESSION AND THE SOLUTION	46
NOW, THIS IS WHERE IT GETS INTERESTING AND CONTENTIOUS AGAIN	63
Sarah-Damaris Bat Yeshuah	65
The Cimmerians.....	76
A New Beginning.....	79
The Patriarchs	95
I Got to the End of the Line	108

Introduction

Many people on Family Search have expressed interest in how I was able to connect my patriarchal line directly from me to Adam on 01OCT2018, on Family Search no less.

They want to know how I did it, and they want to connect into it. Alas, the line is being constantly deleted and destroyed on a daily basis on Family Search, so there's no way to point people to anything that's going to be safe and secure on Family Search. The documents that I posted in the Memories section on Family Search explaining the process and breakthrough have now been deleted or restricted by Family Search, with no explanation as to why they were deleted.

Because some of the connections involved disputed parentages, patrons of Family Search have destroyed the line dozens of times; and, it has to be rebuilt and maintained. It's a never-ending process, and over time, a very frustrating process. I basically quit doing research and work on Family Search because it's a complete waste of time. Family Search deleted or restricted half of what I did, with no explanation, and they can and probably will delete the other half someday as well. I don't trust them.

I don't like Family Search. Nothing is secure or stable on Family Search, and once a person gets a line working back to Adam, that line gets destroyed or deleted in a matter of days. That's been my experience when it comes to Family Search. There's no logical reason to waste one's time trying to build some kind of legacy on Family Search, because it isn't going to last. It's just going to get deleted and destroyed. There's no sense responding to anyone's comments on Family Search either, because those who have learned how to abuse the system just use your comments and responses to get your memories and documents deleted or restricted. Family Search is a hostile predatory work environment. I ran into some real stinkers.

Family Search is a necessary evil, though, because Family Search is the top genealogy engine on the planet, because it's free to use.

I got tired of having my work deleted. However, I have the means and the ability to put up something that they can't delete or destroy; so, I will do so. After all, I understand the appeal of getting one's line back to Adam on Family Search. That's what drew me into Family Search in the first place. And, I have received many messages from others on Family Search expressing their desire to get their line to Adam on Family Search. I think people should be given help to fulfill their dreams, rather than being driven away by the predators at Family Search.

So, here's my patriarchal line from me to Adam, although I start with the dead rather than the living. In the beginning, the links are tied directly to Family Search. The links won't last because they will inevitably be destroyed or deleted by

someone; but, the names should hold for a while, at least until they find a way to destroy and delete that as well. As I go along, I try to provide links to other material besides Family Search – links that are less likely to be deleted, changed, or destroyed. Where possible, I included the wife to make it harder to destroy on Family Search and easier to repair on Family Search when it is destroyed.

It took me a while to do this thing, because I had to reinvent the wheel; but now, it can't be destroyed by the people at Family Search who are determined to destroy it and don't want it to be there. They can delete the links, but they can't destroy the document.

This document is given freely into the public domain where anyone can access it, use it, modify it, update it, enhance it, link to it, borrow it, and fix it as they see fit. It's my gift to you, for those few who are interested in genealogy and genealogical research.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

This document is best used as an online document with all the active links; and, I will distribute it as such through Kindle. It's what I do. I'll maintain my official version on Kindle; and, the public can do whatever they want to with the version that they acquire.

Mark My Words

<https://amazon.com/author/science>

FROM ME TO ADAM

1. Talmage Seymour Bagley – My Paternal Grandfather
25 January 1909 – 17 January 1979
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KWC8-W3X>

Nellie Laprele Albrecht
24 March 1911 – 3 December 1992
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KWC8-W3F>
2. Edward Alma Bagley
10 April 1847 – 28 May 1929
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KWZS-83J>

Christena Torgerson
23 October 1867 – 24 September 1955
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KWZ3-F87>
3. Edward Cyrenius Bagley
18 February 1810 – 10 June 1868
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LH6X-8KC>
<https://markme.us/edwards-mother/>

Julia Anne Grant
3 August 1815 – 20 June 1855
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LH29-B1L>
4. Richard Bagley
1792 – 6 July 1832
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LLMX-DWJ>

Sarah Puffer Bagley
1797 – 29 October 1826
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LHXG-W2V>
<https://markme.us/edwards-mother/>

5. Isaac Bagley
6 November 1756 – 1 February 1839
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LCF8-V6N>

Mehitable Bartlett
10 December 1757 – 1811
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LHXS-YS5>
6. Timothy Bagley
12 October 1719 – 22 April 1759
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ8K-KYK>

Mary Tomson
26 May 1724 – Deceased
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LHXS-B7P>
7. John Bagley
21 January 1685 – 9 March 1727
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L617-VM4>
He's #59 on the Stormont Lineage:
<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>
<http://www.geocities.ws/Heartland/Cliffs/6573/bagley.html>

Hannah Fowler
4 April 1692 – 16 March 1759
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/MM1Z-XTR>
8. Ensign Orlando Bagley
18 February 1658 – 13 January 1727
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDHW-JHD>
He's #52 on the Stormont Lineage:
<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>
<http://www.geocities.ws/Heartland/Cliffs/6573/bagley.html>

Sarah Sargent
29 February 1652 – 3 October 1701
<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTYP-Y97>

9.

Orlando Bagley

10 January 1623 – 18 May 1663

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/MLGX-4J1>

He's #43 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

Sarah Colby

6 March 1634 – 18 May 1663

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9HKG-WGL>

10.

William Bagley

1590 – 1648

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LT36-44R>

He's #27 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

<http://www.geocities.ws/Heartland/Cliffs/6573/bagley.html>

Katherine Potter

about 1608 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/M811-X2R>

11.

John Bagley

3 November 1564 – about 1635

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LH6H-R96>

He's #25 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

Margaret Weld

– 1600

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/M58Z-7RX>

12.

James Bagley

11 May 1520 – October 1607

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KGMS-CK9>

He's #18 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

Elizabeth Houghton

1519 – 1578

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KGMS-CKB>

13.

William Baguley

1480 – 1572

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZDV-NWP>

He is #13 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-William-de-Baguley/6000000040661501937>

Ellen Agnes Bleasdale

about 1484 – 1 March 1530

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LHR4-XZ2>

14.

Ambrose Baguley

about 1445 – after 1484

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/K2VQ-MLG>

He is #12 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Ambrose-de-Baguley/6000000040661326117>

Alice Marshall

1439 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRYG-8N8>

15.

Nicolas Baguley

1365 – after 1473

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L55B-HGM>

He is #11 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-Nicholas-de-Baguley/6000000040661318488>

Joan Ligh

1410 – 1449

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTHR-7LG>

16.

Thomas De Baguley

1306 – 1355

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRYG-NSZ>

He is #10 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-Thomas-de-Baguley/6000000040661786860>

Clemence de Cheadle

1310 – 1350

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GM4H-ZDB>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Lady-Clementia-Baguley/6000000040661343482>

During this era, whenever a Baguley has an unknown wife, Clemence de Cheadle is the wife they tend to use most. She ends up being the wife to her sons and grandsons and great-grandsons, I do believe. It's as if there are two or three different Clemence de Cheadle's.

17. THIS IS WHERE IT GETS REALLY MESSY. THERE'S NO WAY TO CLEANLY SEPARATE ALL THE DIFFERENT WILLIAM BAGLEY'S FROM EACH OTHER. THEY ALL SEEM TO HAVE THE SAME WIVES, ALTHOUGH THE STORMONT LINEAGE SEEMS TO INDICATE THAT THEY SHOULD INDEED BE SEPARATED. IT'S ALSO POSSIBLE THAT THE STORMONT LINEAGE IS WRONG DURING THIS ERA. I wish I had a way to clean this up so that it makes sense, but I don't have enough information to do so, and neither does anyone else from what I can see.

William De Baguley III

1290 – 1356

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTHR-45H>

He is #4 on the Stormont Lineage:

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

<http://www.geocities.ws/Heartland/Cliffs/6573/bagley.html>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-William-de-Baguley-Jr/6000000003211433513>

Amery

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRYG-6RY>

Clemence de Cheadle

1310 – 1350

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GM4H-ZDB>

18.

William De Baguley II

1246 – 1320

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LY8H-KGG>

He is #2 on the Stormont Lineage

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

A Couple of Different Versions:

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-William-de-Baguley-I/6000000028568287152>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-William-de-Baguley-Knight/6000000005070617343>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P2843&tree=humphrey>

I'm Going with the Idea that William De Baguley II Had Three Different Wives:

Lucy Corona

1242 – 1317

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LY8H-LHX>

Son: <https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-William-de-Baguley-Jr/6000000003211433513>

Clemence De Cheadle

1251 – 1316

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/MG9K-R8K>

Amice Goce

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GM8C-6J3>

The following Humphrey Family Group Sheet seems to indicate that William De Baguley II had three different wives and that he is the same person as his son William de Baguley III.

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P2843&tree=humphrey>

The problem is that we need more Bagley's than what we actually have in order to bridge the gaps in the dates. We need more William de Baguley's, not less, just like the Stormont Lineage indicates. I'm trying to accommodate all the different theories and ideas as best I can because we are dealing with the unproven and the unknown.

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

19.

William De Baguley I
aka. Ralph (Raufe) de Baguley
1220 – 1290

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L19G-FCH>

He is #1 on the Stormont Lineage

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Ralph-Rafe-de-Baguley-Sir/6000000003210396415>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P2849&tree=humphrey>

Roesia de Massey
1233 – after 1320

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LCRX-VQK>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Roesia-de-Baguley/6000000003379353290>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P2848&tree=humphrey>

20.

Lord Richard De Baguley
1196 – 1243

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZFT-ZSM>

Sir Richard de Baguley, the First Bagley on this Planet.

Despite all of the confusion and disputations in this family tree, every true Bagley has to descend from Richard de Baguley.

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sir-Richard-de-Baguley/6000000003949928419>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6497&tree=humphrey>

Alice De Vernon
about 1203 – 1275

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRK7-Q96>

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

21.

John de Massey de Moreton

1127 – after 1189

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1RC-59J>

<https://www.geni.com/people/John-de-Massey-de-Moreton/6000000025780235761>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6547&tree=humphrey>

Helen

1178 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1T2-LQ6>

22.

Hamon de Massey II Baron of Dunham Massey

1100 – 1185

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GM3L-JNY>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Hamon-de-Massey/6000000000700876884>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6549&tree=humphrey>

Eleanor de Beaumont

1100 – 1157

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GM3L-P85>

23.

Hamon de Mascy 1st Baron of Dunham Massey
1056 – 1140

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1RC-7P1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Hamon-de-Mascy-1st-Baron-of-Dunham-Massey/6000000001322248975>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6551&tree=humphrey>

He is called "John Baggarly of Normandy" on the Stormont Lineage.

<https://markme.us/Stormont-Lineage/>

On many of the family group sheets he is erroneously listed as John de Baguley and Hamon de Baguley.

He is from Mascy and was born in Normandy France and not in Dunham Massey or Bagdaleigh England.

Remember, he is from La Ferté-Macé France, and NOT from Baguley England.

He was given Baguley, Dunham Massey, and Cheshire England as a reward for helping William the Conqueror in his conquest of England. Some of his descendants ended up being from Baguley, but he wasn't from Baguley. He was from La Ferté-Macé or from Mascy.

That's how surnames used to work back then.

Wife:

Margaret de Sacey Baroness

1077 – 1105

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1RC-GRX>

24.

Guillaume de la Ferté-Macé III

about 1035 – after 1085

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1RH-SCG>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6553&tree=humphrey>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Guillaume-de-la-Fert%C3%A9-Mac%C3%A9/6000000003827883045>

<https://www.genealogy.com/forum/surnames/topics/massey/4447/>

Muriel de Conteville

1036 – 1076

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L185-D68>

Half-Sister of William the Conqueror

<https://www.geni.com/people/Muriel/6000000009968110966>

<https://www.geni.com/people/William-the-Conqueror-king-of-England/5597380726000028489>

25.

Guillaume D' ALENCON II

about 0990 – 1048

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L5Z2-NK1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Guillaume-II-Talvas-de-Bell%C3%A0me-seigneur-d-Alen%C3%A7on/6000000003649663347>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6555&tree=humphrey>

Hildeburge D'Alençon de Beaumont

0990 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L11G-MH1>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6554&tree=humphrey>

People seem determined to destroy this one, change this one, and delete this one for some unknown reason. It's probably because his wife has the same name as his mother.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

26.

William de Bellême Seigneur of Bellême I
from 0960 to 0965 – 1028

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRVN-TLP>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Guillaume-I-Talvas-de-Belleme-seigneur-d-Alen%C3%A7on/4434387867690031414>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6557&tree=humphrey>

Mathilde de Condé-sur-Noireau
0969 – 1024

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/98RQ-BRM>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Mathilde-Hildeburg-de-Cond%C3%A9-sur-Noireau/6000000013382178933>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6556&tree=humphrey>

27.

Yves de Creil Seigneur de Bellême SON of Fulcuin
– after 1005

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L187-NYR>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Yves-de-Creil-seigneur-de-Bell%C3%A7on/6000000001744855616>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6559&tree=humphrey>

Godeheut
0940 – 1004

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9CXW-WGV>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Godehilde/6000000002187721530>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6558&tree=humphrey>

28.

Count Fulcoin '5th Earle, Count of Cordoba' de Creil (Massey)

0900 – 0940

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L18P-JCB>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Fulcuin-de-Belleme-Count-Of-Cordoba/6000000007159604788>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6561&tree=humphrey>

Rothais (Rolais) De Carbonais

0912 – 1005

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L18P-XV5>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Rothais-de-Corbonais/6000000007922625130>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6560&tree=humphrey>

29.

Yves de Creil (Massey) I

0880 – 0981

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L2DB-JMK>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Yves-de-Creil/6000000004270563407>

<http://humphreyfamilystory.com/getperson.php?personID=P6563&tree=humphrey>

"The Venerable" Greil de Perche

0895 – 0981

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1R3-6RR>

30. THIS IS WHERE MY LINE ENDS WITH ONE SURPRISING UNDOCUMENTED EXCEPTION.

Herve Montagne De Massey

0860 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LYK1-V2V>

[http://www.tng.famille-](http://www.tng.famille-morin.com/getperson.php?personID=I25645&tree=June2018)

[morin.com/getperson.php?personID=I25645&tree=June2018](http://www.tng.famille-morin.com/getperson.php?personID=I25645&tree=June2018)

[http://www.tng.famille-](http://www.tng.famille-morin.com/getperson.php?personID=I26058&tree=June2018)

[morin.com/getperson.php?personID=I26058&tree=June2018](http://www.tng.famille-morin.com/getperson.php?personID=I26058&tree=June2018)

A chain is only as good as its weakest link. For me and my line, Herve and his assigned parents are the weakest link in my line.

I have no idea how they assigned Herve's parentage, but they did. There's no documentation or source material for Herve Montagne online. He may be nothing more than a fictional character that they made up out of thin air.

When I first encountered Herve Montagne on Family Search, he had been assigned to:

Princess Ermengarde of Lorraine

0825 – 20 March 0850

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87F-PT1>

It was as if Herve were her illegitimate son or adopted son. Of course, by being assigned to her, Herve was eventually assigned to her husband:

Graf Giselbert II von der Mosel (MAASGAU)

0820 – 14 June 0877

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87F-P2T>

Giselbert II became Herve's adopted father or step-father on Family Search. Furthermore, Giselbert II's father, Giselbert I, doesn't have any known or documented parents. When I first encountered Giselbert I on Family Search, the only parents he had were Charlemagne and one of Charlemagne's concubines. I used that for a month before I started to disprove it and question it.

Hopefully your line on Family Search isn't as precarious and undocumented as mine is. However, whether it is or not, the lesson here is that once you find a way to tie into Charlemagne, then I can show you a straight patriarchal line from Charlemagne back to Adam. I'm not the first person to discover this line; but, I am the first person to implement this line on Family Search. I'm the one who discovered it and entered it into Family Search. This document explains how I did so.

Skynet became self-aware on Family Search on 01OCT2018, because that was the day when I first created a straight patriarchal line from Charlemagne to Adam on

Family Search and got it working. I terminated all the confusion and cut straight through it all as if it weren't even there.

This booklet shows how it was done. I include the links and documents that helped me to do it.

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

Like I said, I wasn't the first person to discover this line; but, I was the first person to enter it into Family Search and get it working right on Family Search. After all, I had decided to do my genealogy on Family Search, and this was the result.

31.

Graf Giselbert II von der Mosel (MAASGAU)

Giselbert Van Bidgouw

0820 – 14 June 0877

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87F-P2T>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Giselbert-I-count-of-Maasgau/6000000002043195487>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/bourbon2?lang=en&pz=anton+karl+ludwig&nz=von+habsburg+lothringen+graf+von+habsburg+prinz+von+ungarn+29.08.1976&ocz=0&p=giselbert&n=de+lorraine+comte+de+maasgau>

https://www.myheritage.com/names/giselbert_van%20bidgouw

Princess Ermengarde of Lorraine

0825 – 20 March 0850

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87F-PT1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Princess-Ermengarde-de-Lorraine/6000000003299280458>

Most people on Family Search seem determined to merge him and his father into one. I had to fight constantly to keep them separated on Family Search.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

32.

Giselbert I van Sens

Count Giselbert Graf von Maasgau, van de Bidgouw

about 0790 – 0842

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/936V-RHX>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/bourbon2?lang=en&pz=anton+karl+ludwig&nz=von+habsburg+lothringen+graf+von+habsburg+prinz+von+ungarn+29.08.1976&ocz=0&p=count+giselbert&n=graf+von+maasgau+van+de+bidgouw>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/bourbon2?lang=en&n=graf+von+maasgau+van+de+bidgouw&ocz=0&p=count+giselbert>

https://www.myheritage.com/names/giselbert_sens

Bertswinda de Lorraine of Hesbaye

0795 – 14 June 0877

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBQ1-CC7>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Bertswinda-de-Lorraine/6000000004533625792>

Making Sense of the Line I Inherited on Family Search

Giselbert I van Sens

Count Giselbert Graf von Maasgau, van de Bidgouw
about 0790 – 0842

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/936V-RHX>

<http://fabpedigree.com/s036/f280207.htm>

<http://fabpedigree.com/s038/f070072.htm>

THIS IS WHERE I HAD TO FIGHT TO KEEP THE RECORDS FROM BEING DESTROYED.

When I first encountered Giselbert I on Family Search, he was listed as the son of Charlemagne.

Charlemagne

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZT6-K1W>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-Charlemagne-I1375.htm#Carolingian-Rothilde-I4645.htm>

Regina (Reginopycrha)

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBJ6-GDP>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Regina-I1495.htm>

Giselbert I's other father, Comte Gainfroi de Maasgau de Sens, might have been the son of Charlemagne.

Charlemagne

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZT6-K1W>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-Charlemagne-I1375.htm#Carolingian-Rothilde-I4645.htm>

Rothilde (Rohaut Rothildis) De Haudre

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMQM-NMS>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-Rothild-I1603.htm>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-Rothilde-I4645.htm>

Gainfroi is listed as having 15 different possible fathers. One of them is Charlemagne. It's a mess. His parentage is unknown. His mother is also unknown.

Comte Gainfroi de Maasgau de Sens

0740 – 0842

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87F-PG2>
<https://gw.geneanet.org/dardhen?lang=en&n=de+sens+de+maasgau&oc=0&p=ad+albert+ii+ou+gainfroi>
<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/spence-kuik-family/P5551.php>
https://www.myheritage.com/names/gainfroi_sens
<https://www.geni.com/people/Gainfroi-count-of-Sens/6000000001744865825>

When I first encountered Giselbert I on Family Search, he was listed as the son of Charlemagne, but since then people believe that they have found the real father, Gainfroi, so they proceeded to delete all the other possibilities. I have managed to take Gainfroi back to Adam as well, so we can go either way to get to Adam along the patriarchal line.

Anyway, when I first encountered Giselbert I on Family Search, he was listed as the son of Charlemagne, and that's what I went with at first. It was my first success, so that's what I will go with now. We can't prove it right, and we can't prove it wrong. Further down in this listing, I will also run Gainfroi back to where he ties into the House of Troy as well. For now, let's go with Charlemagne. Everyone in the western world seems to be related to Charlemagne, although not necessarily through their patriarchal line.

Charlemagne can be made to go back to Adam on Family Search through the patriarchal line, as long as you are willing to keep repairing and defending the line while everyone else is determined to delete it and destroy it.

The initial KEY in our journey to Adam through Charlemagne begins with this link:

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>
<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-Charlemagne-I1375.htm#Carolingian-Rothilde-I4645.htm>

The people at Family Search can't destroy or delete the information at this link; and, that's precisely what we need when dealing with Family Search – a secure foundation to build upon.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

Charlemagne

If you can tie your own personal line into Charlemagne on Family Search, I can show you how to get your line to go back to Adam on Family Search through the Kings of Troy. This is the thing that I have mastered and intend to share with rest of the world with this little booklet.

33. CHARLEMAGE AND THE CAROLINGIAN LINE!

Charlemagne

2 February 0747 – 28 January 0814

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZT6-K1W>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-Charlemagne-I1375.htm>

<http://thekingdomoftroy.weebly.com/royal-house.html>

<https://myspyche.us/Royal-House-The-Kingdom-of-Troy/>

<https://www.slideshare.net/PAULM594/adam-eve-7664178>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Regina (Reginopycrha)

about 0770 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBJ6-GDP>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Regina-I1495.htm>

Surprisingly, there are people deleting Charlemagne and his wives and descendants and ancestors right and left on Family Search, so even Charlemagne isn't safe and secure, and he's constantly being changed and pruned and deleted from existence. I've had to report it more than once. It used to be robust; but now, most of it has been destroyed within a matter of weeks. I don't like Family Search. There's nothing stable or dependable there. Charlemagne's patriarchal line goes straight back to Adam; but, it's constantly being changed, deleted, and destroyed on Family Search.

When dealing with something like this that is constantly being deleted and destroyed on Family Search, you have to fall back on a model or a pedigree chart that can't be destroyed. I found what I was looking for at this link.

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-Charlemagne-I1375.htm>

This Pedigree Chart is what I tried to repair, defend, and maintain on Family Search. It worked for months until the admins at Family Search started deleting my efforts, repairs, and documentation. Later on, in other sections of this document, I will include links to other sites that verify that this information is correct. For months, though, I only had this pedigree chart to go by, so I relied upon it exclusively to get me through to Adam on Family Search.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

34.

Pepin III the Short

2 April 0714 – 24 September 0768

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L4T5-MQS>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/M3VY-H4H>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-PepinIII-I867.htm>

Bertrada de Laon

2 April 0720 – 12 July 0783

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ8S-3K3>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeLaon-Berthe-I393.htm>

I went in one Saturday, and the "Pepin the Short" that I was using had been completely deleted in an attempt to isolate the read-only file. It was a dead-end. The whole thing had been destroyed. Years of work simply deleted and lost. It was comprehensive – a masterpiece – and it was just gone. I reported it, and Family Search restored it. But, it looks like it has been destroyed once again. I really don't like Family Search. Years of peoples' work and lives can be destroyed in a matter of minutes on Family Search. It's a predator's paradise.

The Charlemagne records were being systematically destroyed as well. Now all that's left is bare bones. I have had to fight to preserve the Charlemagne stuff that I am using and wanting to preserve because people want to delete that also. I could spend weeks trying to restore it all only to have it destroyed once again on a whim; and, there are some people on Family Search who all they do is delete and destroy; and, we have no protection from them. They contribute nothing useful. They just destroy.

This Pedigree Chart is what I tried to repair, maintain, and defend on Family Search. It worked for months until the admins at Family Search started deleting and destroying my documentation, repairs, evidence, and research.

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-PepinIII-I867.htm>

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

35.

Charles Martel duc des Austrasiens

Mayor Charles Martel (The Hammer) Carolingian Of The Palace Of Austrasia

23 August 0686 – 22 October 0741

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDSS-3SV>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-CharlesMartel-I1405.htm>

Chrothais

Duchess Chrotrude De Treves Of Austrasia

0690 – 22 October 0724

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDZ2-VNG>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeTreves-Chrotrude-I1072.htm>

This one changes daily on Family Search; but, there always seems to be one to go through – Charles “The Hammer”. These links will probably be dead when you go looking for them, but there should be one there somewhere to find that you can attach as the parent of Pippin the Short.

When you are parsing your family tree on Family Search and get to Charlemagne or his son Pippin the Short, and they have no parents because their parents have been deleted, then you are forced to deal with it.

Likewise, there’s an ongoing turf war within Charles “The Hammer” that I have managed to stay out of. There are a couple dozen different Charles “The Hammer” on Family Search to get you through. I don’t understand their fascination with deletion and destruction on Family Search. I learned to hate it. I have these memorized so I know when they get deleted and destroyed, or when they change.

When the administrators at Family Search became the deleters and the destroyers, then that’s when I quit Family Search. If the admins and moderators at Family Search have no interest in defending, maintaining, and repairing the lines, then why should I bother and go it alone? When Family Search started deleting my documents, evidence, comments, and repairs, then they made an enemy of me. That’s when I decided to stop placing my documents and comments and suggestions on Family Search. If the admins at Family Search are determined to destroy it, then there’s no way to win, and it’s time to quit. Is it not? When the admins become the enemy and the perpetrators and the ones orphaning and deleting the line, then there’s no way to win and there is no sense continuing. It was time to go a different way. I washed my hands of them and dusted my feet off against them, and chose a better way. They can have it. I no longer want it.

I’m not obstinate about it or anything. As a family history consultant for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, I’m forced to use Family Search to get

my work done. But, there's no reason that I have to put my documentation and evidence on Family Search where the admins can delete it and destroy it just for the fun of it.

This Pedigree Chart is what I tried to maintain and defend on Family Search.

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>
<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-CharlesMartel-I1405.htm>

It worked for months until the admins at Family Search started deleting my repairs, documents, links, and evidence.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

36.

Pepijn II van Herstal

Pippin De Mayor Of The Palace Herstal Duke of Brabant

King Pepin II Carolingian of Austrasia/France

0635 – 16 December 0714

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RT-NN3>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDSS-4ZY>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LC53-KBJ>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Carolingian-PepinII-I1584.htm>

This one was constantly being deleted and destroyed, but Family Search finally got a Read-Only locked version that can't be destroyed.

Alpaide De Austrasia

Alpaide de Bruyères de Saxe

about 0654 – 16 December 0714

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDSS-4FN>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDMP-CN6>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeAustrasia-Alpaide-I687.htm>

37.

Duke Ansegisel Meroving of Metz, Mayor of the Palace of Austrasia
13 August 0602 – 16 December 0679

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDSS-SY2>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Ansigisen-I923.htm>

Princess Begga Baega de Landen

Saint, Lady Beggue De Austrasia

2 June 0615 – 17 December 0693

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDSS-HQZ>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeAustrasia-Beggue-I650.htm>

I worked hard to get this one cleaned up, repaired, merged, and working right on Family Search. It seems to be holding; but, there are no guarantees when it comes to Family Search. Anyone can go in and delete it and destroy it anytime they want to.

38.

Saint Arnulf of Metz, Mayor of Palace Austrasia

13 August 0582 – 16 August 0640

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L5PF-TWQ>

<https://science-2-0.com/charlemagne/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Arnulf-I392.htm>

Doda De Heristal Of Old Saxony

0586 – 0620

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LY7Y-45W>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeHeristal-Doda-I517.htm>

There seem to be a dozen different Saints of Metz and Bishops of Metz; and, they were all merged together into one when I first encountered Family Search. I separated them based upon the information at this Pedigree Chart:

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Arnulf-I392.htm>

This Pedigree Chart was my salvation and my sanity for the months that I was on Family Search trying to repair and maintain the thing.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

39.

Bishop Arnoul Merovigian of Metz
about 0562 – 16 August 0640

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LY7Y-4HG>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Arnoul-I2965.htm>

Lady Oda De Savoy – Oda Of Saxony
about 0562 – 0641

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LVQY-22W>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeSavoy-Oda-I4091.htm>

I spent days cleaning this one up and defending it on Family Search. There are dozens of different Bishop Arnoul, Bishop of Metz, and Bishop Arnold on Family Search. His parentage is unknown; therefore, Bishop Arnouldus also has a couple dozen different parents on Family Search.

I used the Pedigree Chart at this link:

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Arnulf-I392.htm>

All I did was try to maintain and defend that for months. People were determined to delete it and destroy it for a while on Family Search. Slowly, others have added in his other possible parents; and, I have left them in place as well because his parentage is unknown.

His wife is unknown and constantly being changed as well. All I tried to do is to maintain the wife and the relationship listed at this Pedigree Chart since it can't be changed nor destroyed.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeSavoy-Oda-I4091.htm>

I'm not interesting in fighting about who is right and who is wrong. I'm just interested in maintaining the line and holding the line.

Obviously, since Bishop Arnold's parents are unknown, there is nothing but a constant battle on Family Search to force one's opinion onto everyone else. I skipped all of that by going with this Pedigree Chart for his parentage.

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Arnoul-I2965.htm>

One Possible Father: Senator/Duke Ausbert Merovigian Of Moselle

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Ausbert-I874.htm>

40.

Senator/Duke Ausbert Merovigian Of Moselle

Ausbert of Moselle SON of Ferreolus Merovigian de Moselle

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9CWG-1HP>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Ausbert-I874.htm>

Ausbert's FIRST WIFE: Queen Adleberge De Kent

They had a SON named Bishop Arnoul Merovigian Of Metz

Arnoul was born about 0550 in Old Saxony. He died in 0601.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeKent-Adleberge-I655.htm>

Queen Adleberge de Kent

0539 – 0640

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRVC-P4S>

Ausbert's SECOND WIFE: Princess Blithildes Merovigian Of The Franks

They had a DAUGHTER named Lady Gertrudes Merovigian Of Moselle

Gertrudes was born in 0530. She died at the age of 125 in 0655.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Blithildes-I1019.htm>

Blithildes de Lotaire DAUGHTER of Clotaire

0525 – 0580

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1BC-XV2>

All I did was try to maintain these relationships while the war raged all around me.

They are still there; but, that doesn't mean that they will be there when you go looking for them. Nothing is safe or secure on Family Search.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

THIS IS WHERE THE LINE TYPICALLY ENDS.

There are a million Ausberts on Family Search. They can't identify him; and therefore, they can't find his parents. His identity and his parentage are in dispute.

This page was the KEY and the only way I got through it.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Ausbert-I874.htm>

Notice that the mother's pedigree line is working and also goes back to King Pharamond. This page was the breakthrough that got my patriarchal line back to Adam.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

41.

Duke Ferrrous of Moselle

Duke Ferreolus Merovigian Of Moselle

from 0488 to 0533 – from 0515 to 0609

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1RZ-WXG>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Ferreolus-I865.htm>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25730&tree=tree>
1

Outeria

0504 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBBW-YYJ>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25731&tree=tree>
1

Lady Deuteria

0450 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KZ15-6QK>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Outeria-I685.htm>

I seem to have this one isolated and seem to have a monopoly on it on Family Search, since I seem to be the only one who added this relationship to Family Search. But, they were constantly changing and deleting his parents on Family Search; and, I had to keep restoring them. It's amazing how many people want to delete and destroy Charlemagne's ancestry at Family Search. They can't seem to leave well-enough alone.

There are thousands of Ansberts, Ausberts, and Ferreolus on Family Search, and it helps to have a working model that can't be deleted nor changed in order to get through this mess.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

42.

Sigmaerus Bishop of Auvergne Franks

0419 – 0465

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LYWC-78T>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-SigimberusI-I882.htm>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25733&tree=tree>
1

Tonantia V (or Tononitus) Ferreolus

0432 – 0529

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LNDC-Z93>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Tonantius-I532.htm>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25734&tree=tree>
1

<https://www.geni.com/people/Tonantia-Ferreola/6000000002908804802>

The wife's ancestry and identity is constantly in dispute on Family Search, and I've never seen any active consensus. I used geni.com to try to introduce some stability to the wife's line, with limited success.

You might also try:

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

43.

Clodion Merovingian King of the Salian Franks

0395 – 0447

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDZ2-KNN>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8PY-CFB>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

Clodius VI King of the West Franks

0392 – 23 November 0448

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L12R-PJK>

King Clodion (Le Chevelu, The Long Haired) Merovingian of the East Franks

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Clodion-I1082.htm>

Clodius (Clodio) VI "Long Hair" de Cologne

[https://www.geni.com/people/Clodius-Clodio-VI-Long-Hair-de-](https://www.geni.com/people/Clodius-Clodio-VI-Long-Hair-de-Cologne/6000000054309891910)

[Cologne/6000000054309891910](https://www.geni.com/people/Clodius-Clodio-VI-Long-Hair-de-Cologne/6000000054309891910)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002143053627.php>

Lady Ildegonde Merovingian Of Cologne

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Ildegonde-I4814.htm>

Basina (Bassina) of The Thüringians

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000700963648.php>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/BasinaI-I1083.htm>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

INITIALLY, THIS WAS THE ONLY PLACE WHERE I FOUND THIS INFORMATION:

Basina I's family with King Clodion (Le Chevelu, The Long Haired) Merovingian of the East Franks. Basina I and Le Chevelu, The Long Haired were married in a religious ceremony in France. They had two sons named Maerovaec and Sigimberus I.

Children:

Male King Maerovaec Merovingian of the Franks

Maerovaec was born in 0418. He died at the age of 40 in 0458.

Male Bishop Sigimberus I Merovingian Of Auvergne

Sigimberus I was born about 0419 in Westphalia, Germany.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/BasinaI-I1083.htm>

As far as I know, I'm the only one to have put this information onto Family Search and to have maintained it; but, it is essential if we want to successfully get Charlemagne back to Adam across his patriarchal line.

There are dozens of different versions of Clodion, known as Le Chevelu, The Long Haired, on Family Search. His parentage is constantly changing and in dispute on Family Search. His children are in dispute as well.

Le Chevelu, the Long Haired, had two different wives and different children with each wife:

Le Chevelu, The Long-Haired's second family with Lady Ildegonde Merovigian Of Cologne. They had a son and a daughter, named Chlodebaud and Vaerica Chlodeswinthe.

Children:

Female Queen Vaerica Chlodeswinthe Merovigian Of Franks
Vaerica Chlodeswinthe was born in 0419 in Westphalia, Germany. She died about 0449.

Male Chlodebaud Merovigian
Chlodebaud was born in 0440 in Koln, Germania. He died at the age of 43 in 0483 in Koln, Germania.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Clodion-I1082.htm>

As usual, all I tried to do was to maintain a working line based upon this pedigree chart:

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Clodion-I1082.htm>

I let the rest of it fall apart on Family Search and let them destroy it at will.

Found Another Line and Family Group Sheet for Clodion

43.

Clodion Of Tournai (Clodius Crintus) (Lord of the West Franks - 430-446), King of France

Clodion Merovingian King of the Salian Franks

0395 – 0447

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDZ2-KNN>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8PY-CFB>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8627&tree=tree1>

Wife:

Siegse (Argotta) (Princess ("Basina") of the Thuringians) THURINGIANS

0399 – 0470

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDZ2-KNP>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8626&tree=tree1>

Their Children:

Albâeric (Albero) (Lord Of Moselle)

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9WLL-WSC>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8615&tree=tree1>

Sigmaerus I, Bishop of Auvergne

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LYWC-78T>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25733&tree=tree1>

Maerovaee (Meroveus), King Of France

Merovech "Maerovaec, Meerweg" Merovingian Van Den Yssel

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ86-1NG>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8617&tree=tree1>

44.

King Pharamond of East Franks

0370 – 15 August 0414

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZGG-2YD>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZN1-SLD>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8625&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Pharamond-Fictitious/5586718805550073688>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I5586718805550073688.php>

[http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Pharamond-](http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Pharamond-I1078.htm)

[I1078.htm](http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Pharamond-I1078.htm)

Queen Argotta of the Salian Franks

0368 – about 0438

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/MSD2-MQM>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Argotta-I537.htm>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Argotta-of-the-Franks/5412414922360067363>

This is where the FOUR different patriarchal lines that I researched and defended seem to converge and diverge. King Pharamond is described as a fictional character on geni.com, but this is where everything converges either through him or his wife Argotta. This is it. This is the first milestone.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

45.

Marcomir I Merovech 5TH DUKE COLOGNE KING SICAMBRIAN EASTERN FRANK
MEROVINGIAN

0347 – 0427

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJMM-8SD>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

Duke Marcomir V Merovingian of the East Franks

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-MarcomirV-I1080.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006127938104.php>

Hatilde, mother of Pharamond

0350 – 0449

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8B6-VS9>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000004191663288.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8693&tree=tree1>

Hildegonde

0351 – 0425

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ2H-SJ5>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003649666694.php>

Lady Ildegonde De Lombardy

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeLombardy-Ildegonde-I1081.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006127938104.php>

There are dozens of these Marcomir's on Family Search, and I simply tried to maintain and preserve one of them.

If you can tie your own personal line into King Pharamond or his father Marcomir, then I can show you how to get your line back to Adam on Family Search. This is the thing that I have mastered and perfected and intend to share with the rest of the world.

My ultimate goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted nor destroyed by the people at Family Search.

NOW, LET'S GO BACK AND FILL IN THE OTHER NON-CAROLINGIAN PATRIARCHAL LINE TO ADAM.

THE OTHER LINE TO ADAM

32.

Giselbert I van Sens

Count Giselbert Graf von Maasgau, van de Bidgouw
about 0790 – 0842

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/936V-RHX>

<https://www.wikitree.com/wiki/Maasgau-4>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/bourbon2?lang=en&pz=anton+karl+ludwig&nz=von+habsburg+lothringen+graf+von+habsburg+prinz+von+ungarn+29.08.1976&ocz=0&p=count+giselbert&n=graf+von+maasgau+van+de+bidgouw>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/bourbon2?lang=en&n=graf+von+maasgau+van+de+bidgouw&ocz=0&p=count+giselbert>

Bertswinda de Lorraine of Hesbaye

0795 – 14 June 0877

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBQ1-CC7>

33.

Comte Gainfroi de Maasgau de Sens (about 0740 – 0842)

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87F-PG2>

<https://www.wikitree.com/wiki/Sens-1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Gainfroi-count-in-Maasgau/6000000048189312982>

Theidlindis De Blois

0770 – 0801

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJGN-QDN>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Countess-Theidlindis-Theulinde-Maasgau-Massgau/6000000069888754918>

Once I got this line back to Adam established and working, it hasn't seemed to change because nobody is interested in it. They are only interested in fighting about and destroying the Charlemagne connection and parentage, not this separate non-Carolingian line back to Adam.

Even then, Gainfroi's parentage is unknown and is in dispute. Gainfroi seems to have fifteen different parents, including the one that they selected for him on Family Search.

Adalbert II Ou Gainfroi DE SENS de MAASGAU

<https://gw.geneanet.org/dardhen?lang=en&n=de+sens+de+maasgau&ocz=0&p=adalbert+ii+ou+gainfroi>

For this line back to Adam, I'm going with the parent that they selected for him on Family search. It's a legitimate line – as good as any other.

34.

Mainier Duke of Austria Count of Sens (0745 – 0800)

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87F-P2V>

<https://www.wikitree.com/wiki/Sens-2>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Mainier-count-of-Sens/6000000049380086851>

Manier's wife's identity is also unknown. She is listed as Rothilde online. I prefer Rothhilde, the daughter of Charlemagne and Madelgarde de Lommois because she actually has an ancestry that goes back to Adam:

Rothhilde

24 March 0852 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GM94-3N9>

Mother Madelgarde de Lommois

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9WQY-PBV>

However, others have chosen:

Rothilde (Rohaut Rothildis) De Haudre

0750 – 28 July 0834

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMQM-NMS>

She is equally as legitimate. A case can be made for either one.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

35. NOW THE LINE STABILIZES SOMEWHAT, ALTHOUGH IT HAS TO BE PIECED TOGETHER FROM MANY DIFFERENT SOURCES. I tried to provide links as best I could, but it does get sparse in places.

Comte Albi ou Albo de Lorraine de Sens

Albo of Neustria

ALBO von MAASGAU

0720 – 0800

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LB2L-BLF>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Albo-count-of-Sens/5081372833660053222>

<https://www.wikitree.com/wiki/Neustria-17>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Albo-count-of-Sens/5081372833660053222>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/macfouillade?lang=en&pz=guy+pierre+paul&nz=fouillade&ocz=0&p=albo&n=maasgau+von>

Hildewinde d'Alsace

about 0725 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6JN-XQ3>

I and others used this Family Tree for a while, because it seemed to be the most complete at the beginning. There are others.

<https://gw.geneanet.org/macfouillade?lang=en&pz=guy+pierre+paul&nz=fouillade&ocz=0&p=albo&n=maasgau+von>

- 36.

WALTER II, comte en Hainaut von MAASGAU

0690 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9SMP-XJB>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Duke-Walter-II-Neustria/600000000969947420>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/macfouillade?lang=en&pz=guy+pierre+paul&nz=fouillade&ocz=0&p=walter+ii+comte+en+hainaut&n=maasgau+von>

- 37.

Walter I de Hainaut

Walter de Lorraine, Count of Neustria

0665 – 0730

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTVJ-SGP>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Walter-de-Lorraine-Count-of-Neustria/6000000008698394363>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/macfouillade?lang=en&pz=guy+pierre+paul&nz=fouillade&ocz=0&p=walter+i+comte+de+hainaut&n=maasgau+von>

38.

AULERI ou AUBRI, comte d'Ardennes MAASGAU (von)

Aubri De Neustria I

0630 – 0700

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LB2W-9PS>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Aubri-I-count-in-Neustria/6000000008698308762>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/macfouillade?lang=en&pz=guy+pierre+paul&nz=fouillade&ocz=0&p=auleri+ou+aubri+comte+d+ardennes&n=maasgau+von>

39.

Brunulf Count of Neustria

Brunulphe de Lommois

Brunulf de Lorraine, I, Count of Neustria

0602 – 0670

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZVX-25B>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Brunulf-de-Lorraine-I-Count-of-Neustria/6000000008698402466>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/macfouillade?lang=en&pz=guy+pierre+paul&nz=fouillade&ocz=0&p=brunulf&n=maasgau+von>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Brunulphe-de-Lommois/6000000012303042802>

Fraya de Boulogne

0595 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LVG7-DLC>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Fraya-de-Boulogne/6000000017616354323>

40.

Brunulf de Lorraine Of Belgium and Luxembourg

Ydulf Of Belgium and Luxembourg

0570 – 0630

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJGN-W51>

Aya Adelgunde DeBelgiea

0575 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LYJK-3F4>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Aya-Adelgunde/6000000010413508931>

41.

Yudulf Duke of Belgium and Luxemburg
0538 – 0598

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBGP-S26>

This is where it gets good.

<https://www.geni.com/people/Ydulf-Yudulf-de-Lorraine-of-Belgium-and-Luxembourg/6000000037947784933>

42.

Waubert I of Ardennes
Waubert De Ponthieu
0485 – 0538

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LYSJ-SCL>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Waubert-I-count-of-Ardennes/6000000007151244692>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Vanbertus-I4769.htm>

<http://fabpedigree.com/s072/f974494.htm>

This person's identity, name, and parentage are in constant dispute on Family Search. This is where I and others used the solidity of geni.com to cut through the mess. It works, if you let it work.

This particular Waubert has at least three different wives.

Rithilde de Hainaut d'Ardennes (van Wisigoten)
0490 – 0538

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBXG-9TL>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Rithilde-d-Ardennes/6000000058640446833>

Lucille of Pannonia
about 0485 – 0556

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTQ2-RBZ>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Lucille-of-Pannonia/600000000701024894?through=6000000004533469792>

Deuteria Syagria Galla
0487 – Deceased

<https://www.geni.com/people/Deuteria-Syagria-Galla-Fictitious-Person/6000000010072843116>

Waubert's Parentage is in dispute. His mother had two husbands. I picked the father that takes me to Adam while leaving the other one in place as well.

43.

Albero Lord on The Moselle

Alberic (Albero) Lord of Moselle

Duke Alberto Merovigian Of Moselle

Adalbert, duke of Moselle

Adalbert (Alberico) (Duke) of MOSEL

Adelbert (Albéric) (Albéro) de Moselle Dux

from 0425 to 0491 – 0491

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9WLL-WSC>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6V8-1YD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9458&tree=tree1>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8563&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Adalbert-duke-of-Moselle-Fictitious-Person/4632067983530129522>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-Alberto-I4770.htm>

<http://fabpedigree.com/s044/f948989.htm>

<http://fabpedigree.com/s000/f150938.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I4632067983530129522.php>

Argotta of the Ostrogoths

Argotta (Rosamunde)

0470 – after 0518

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/991R-PM9>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8623&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Argotta-of-the-Ostrogoths-Fictitious-Person/6000000013240779232>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Argotta-of-the-Ostrogoths/6000000022279721820>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-schouten-willing/P3690.php>

Alberto's parentage is in dispute; but, some people seem to agree that his father is either:

Clodius I Long-haired Salien East Franks

Chlodio «le Chevelu» (Clogio (Clodion `the Haired) des Francs roi des Francs

Clodion, King of France

0395 – 0448

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8PY-CFB>

<http://www.mathematical.com/moselleadelbert425.html>

https://www.myheritage.com/names/adalbert_mosel

<https://www.geni.com/people/Argotta-of-the-Franks/5412414922360067363>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002143053627.php>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

OR:

Théodomir Richomeresson and the wrong Argotta.

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ6Y-PS1>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LB7M-7WB>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-schouten-willing/P3691.php>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003828105311.php>

https://www.myheritage.com/names/adalbert_mosel

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-schouten-willing/P3691.php>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Th%C3%A9od%C3%A9mir-King-of-the-Franks-at-](https://www.geni.com/people/Th%C3%A9od%C3%A9mir-King-of-the-Franks-at-Th%C3%A9rouanne/600000003828105311)

[Th%C3%A9rouanne/600000003828105311](https://www.geni.com/people/Th%C3%A9rouanne/600000003828105311)

OR:

King Pharamond of East Franks

0370 – 15 August 0414

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZGG-2YD>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9WCN-W6D>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9458&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Argotta-of-the-Franks/5412414922360067363>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Adalbertus-de-Cologne/6000000032040039533>

<https://fabpedigree.com/s044/f948989.htm>

https://www.myheritage.com/names/adalbert_mosel

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Obviously, I chose to go with Clodius, the son of Pharamond, because King Pharamond's patriarchal line goes all the way back to Adam when it's working right on Family Search.

44.

King Pharamond of East Franks

0370 – 15 August 0414

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZGG-2YD>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9WCN-W6D>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8625&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Pharamond-Fictitious/5586718805550073688>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Queen Argotta of The Salian Franks

0368 – about 0438

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/MSD2-MQM>

And there you have it. We have arrived again at King Pharamond – the very same ancestor for Charlemagne.

Now it gets fun again. We have a straight shot to Adam through the patriarchal line starting with King Pharamond, except for the fact that everyone seems determined to delete it and destroy it on Family Search. I have had to repair and rebuild the line dozens of times; and, it will probably be destroyed again by the time you get to it. Family Search is a hostile and predatory work environment. We really should complain to them about it.

The patriarchal line to Adam begins here with King Pharamond.

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Pharamond-I1078.htm>

I'm a researcher. I believe in documenting my work.

Everyone has parents, and every line goes back to Adam – they just don't do so on Family Search. I set out to take my patriarchal line back to Adam, and I accomplished what I tried to do.

A line straight back to Adam from King Pharamond can also be seen at these links.

<https://www.slideshare.net/PAULM594/adam-eve-7664178>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

It's there to be found and entered into Family Search if one chooses to go looking for it, as I obviously did.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

GRIPE SESSION AND THE SOLUTION

I was called a few months ago to be a family history consultant for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

The family history consultants and missionaries who introduced me to Family Search and taught me how to use it told me that the LDS family history consultants don't like Family Search and don't use Family Search to do their genealogy. I was told to build my family tree in Ancestry.com where it cannot be deleted nor destroyed. They told me that they don't like Family Search and not to use it. They told me that Family Search has a bad reputation among the LDS family history consultants and LDS missionaries, and that they refuse to use it to do their own genealogy.

I refused to believe that the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints would let it get as bad as their family history consultants were telling me it is. I decided to build my family tree on Family Search; and, I successfully did so all the way back to Adam along my patriarchal line.

Then, when Family Search and the predatory patrons at Family Search started deleting and destroying my line and my documentation, I finally saw with my own eyes what my trainers were warning me about. Family Search really is as bad as they were saying that it is. The LDS family history consultants despise Family Search with good reason. Anyone can delete anything at any time, and we have no recourse whatsoever. Reporting their abuses falls on deaf ears for the most part, especially when the administrators at Family Search are the abusers and the ones deleting the documentation, notes, memories, parents, children, and lines.

On Family Search, anyone can delete anything at any time. I'm not exaggerating here. One time I had another patron, out of a fit of anger or jealous rage, go all scorched-earth on a few of my ancestors and relatives, deleted everything, all the memories and sources, and dead-headed my patriarchal line. This patron got the attention of the admins at Family Search. The admins at Family Search helped me to get most of the information back and then locked those ancestors so that this patron couldn't delete the material associated with them anymore. That's when the admins worked for me and helped me; but, it doesn't always go that way on Family Search. The admins can also work against you without warning or recourse.

First, the admins at Family Search deleted the parents and deleted some individuals from my patriarchal line so that the line goes dead; then they deleted a couple dozen restricted memories from a personal Album I was working on 60 days ahead of schedule and all the questions and comments were lost; and, then they deleted a couple of my documents that had been there for months on Family Search and had

been perfectly acceptable for months – with no explanation. It all happened within the same day or time-frame. It looked like a deliberate, systematic, and targeted attack on me and mine. Only admins can delete and restrict documents. Only admins can delete all traces of a specific individual from Family Search. That was the straw that broke the camel's back and turned me against them. When the harassment starts coming from the admins at Family Search and they make it clear that they don't want you there, there's no sense fighting it; and, it's time to leave.

This is when I QUIT Family Search. Now, my definition of "quit" means that I stopped storing my important documents on Family Search where they can be deleted at will by the people at Family Search and started storing them off-site where nobody at Family Search can get at them to delete them and destroy them. I decided to start using their Source feature for my most important documents rather than their Memories feature. They can and do delete your memories and comments at will without warning. They can also delete your source links just for the fun of it. But now, they can't delete my actual documents. You learn to play their game in order to get what you want and need.

The people at Family Search taught me to despise and hate Family Search. All we can do is complain about it; but, nothing ever changes. They made me an enemy to Family Search. I'm now just like all the rest of their LDS family history consultants. I learned to hate the thing. They've shown no loyalty to me; so, I'm under no obligation to show any loyalty to them. All we can do is complain, so I'm going to complain. I'm going to tell them precisely what's wrong with their program and hold nothing back. I'll be the squeaky wheel. I'm going to tell them what they need to hear – what they don't want to hear. A critique like this one would never be allowed on Family Search, which is why I'm going to publish it in locations where they can't get at it and delete it. They used their abuses against me, so I will now use their abuses against them in the hopes of someday helping to improve them.

Now, I was taught that if you are going to tear something down and trash-talk it, then you are obligated to build something better in its place; and, here's the obligatory solution to their problems.

The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and the people behind Family Search must start to build some official lines that cannot be deleted nor destroyed. They need to develop an official, canonized, indestructible core that they can be proud to present to their Savior when he comes. When it comes to Family Search, the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints needs to introduce some kind of canonization process. Once a person's official record has been canonized, it cannot be deleted. It can only be added to.

They need to modify Family Search so that the canonized or official portions cannot be deleted nor destroyed; but, at the same time, patrons can add to it. Patrons should be able to add new children, new notes, new documents, other spouses, and other parents to a canonized family group sheet. All new additions should be totally welcome and totally unlimited. Maybe the new additions can be built in a completely separate, unlocked family group sheet. They already allow us to add the same person twice on Family Search – so lock one of them and keep the other one active.

Each person on Family Search should have a master record that cannot be touched, deleted, nor destroyed by the public nor by the admins at Family Search. Their master record can only be added to or enhanced or corrected. That would secure the lines and the links, and it would also put an end to the contentious scorched-earth turf-wars taking place across the whole of Family Search.

There should be two completely separate records for each person on Family Search – his or her canonized record, and then that person’s working record. The working record(s) should be totally modifiable and merge-able and delete-able. People should be free to do anything they want to the working record(s). We should be able to merge all the working duplicates at will, even though the official core or canonized record is locked and untouchable. This inability to merge because of the presence of a locked record needs to be stopped. All it does is create contention and destruction as people try to work around the locked-records on Family Search.

All unlocked records or working records should be merge-able, modifiable, and delete-able, while at the same time the official core or canonized core should be untouchable. They should eliminate the inability to merge in the presence of a locked record. They should allow you to merge unlocked records. All of the external additions to the canonized core record should be merge-able and delete-able, even though the core itself is locked and untouchable.

Then, the workers at Family Search should be free to go into Family Search and merge the vetted, verified, and good additions into the official record. In other words, the employees at Family Search should be able to canonize the new additions or merge the new additions into the official canon from time to time. They should be able to canonize the notes, memories, additional information, life sketch, additional children, additional spouses, additional names, additional parents, or whatever else that they deem worth of canonization.

Once a document or memory is canonized, then it cannot be deleted by anyone, not even anyone at Family Search. It’s there to stay. The only thing that can be deleted from a canonized document would be any comments that are deemed offensive. Thereby, the links on Family Search finally become dependable and quotable if the documents have been canonized.

My biggest gripe against Family Search came when the admins started deleting documents of mine that had been there for months, because somebody didn't like my response to their rude comments or questions. The offensive comments should have been deleted, not the document. I thought the admins at Family Search would understand their fiduciary responsibility to their patrons; but, they don't. They have no clue. The admins at Family Search are just as predatory and destructive as the patrons. That's despicable.

Furthermore, I had gathered all of my restricted (deleted) documents into one folder, and I was looking at and taking note of some of the comments and questions for further future research and response; and, some admin at Family Search deleted the whole load even though those documents had another 60 days on their clock before auto-deletion. That was the betrayal that turned me against them. That's what made me decide to quit Family Search and to try a different approach to doing my genealogy. They betrayed me and made an enemy of me, just like they have done to thousands of others before me. I'm done with them. I don't trust them. Just like my LDS family history trainers, I didn't last very long. I've decided to go a different way. When I told my trainer of my ongoing problems with Family Search, he reminded me that he told me right up front to do my genealogy in Ancestry.com and to stay out of Family Search. "I told you so" was his response.

I was super excited about Family Search for a month; but, they quickly found ways to dampen my enthusiasm. Experience takes the edge off.

When it comes to Family Search, the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints needs to create an official canonized set of books that they can proudly present to their Savior when he comes instead of the predatory, contentious, wasteful, turf-warred, deleted, scorched-earth, anarchy, ugliness that is currently Family Search. They should be ashamed of Family Search as it currently is with all the contentious and predatory bickering that is going on within the thing. It's disgraceful. With just a little bit of planning, programming, and protection in place, Family Search could have actually been good. But, as it is now, it's a rabid dog and should be taken out and shot. Right now, the only thing we can do is to complain about it. Someday maybe someone will listen.

I'm an enemy to Family Search; but, I'm not your typical enemy. I try to bless my enemies rather than trying to destroy them, delete them, eliminate them, and take them down with me. I chastise my enemies severely, tell them how to repent, and tell them how to do better next time.

Keep complaining to the admins and makers at Family Search; and, maybe someday one of them will have a revelation and actually do something about the

horrible chaotic mess they have created. All it would take is for a member of the Twelve to issue the command, and Family Search could be fixed and made presentable in a matter of days. All they need is a solid official canonized core that can't be deleted, and that can only be changed or enhanced through some kind of canonization or sanctification process run by the Family Search employees themselves. Lock down an official set of books while allowing patrons to make additions or suggestions in a separate unlocked record; and then, clean up the merge process so that any unlocked unofficial document can be merged or deleted. Make it so that only the Family Search employees can merge the new additions into the official core, and maybe make a Request for Canonization Form that the patrons can fill out when they believe their additions are ready for canonization or merger into the canon. Simple.

I'm leading by example. Here, with this document, I'm creating my own official canonized core based upon Family Search that the admins and patrons at Family Search can't delete and destroy. This is what they should do in-house as well.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search. The corporate and institutional mentality is to defend itself and its sins – to maintain the status quo. I'm telling them instead how to do better and be better; and, they ain't gonna like it, and will do everything they can to delete it and stop it. They don't want to do better because they have gotten used to the way things are. Nevertheless, I'm going to fight them and try to bless them and improve them. It can be done if they choose to do so. All the other genealogy organizations have a solid indestructible core; and, the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints can create one too if they want to do so. So, complain to them and get your message through to them. That's what I decided to do.

Now back to your regularly scheduled program.

44. THE HOME RUN THROUGH THE ROYAL HOUSE OF TROY

King Pharamond of East Franks

0370 – 15 August 0414

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZGG-2YD>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZN1-SLD>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8625&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Pharamond-Fictitious/5586718805550073688>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-Pharamond-I1078.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I5586718805550073688.php>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Queen Argotta of The Salian Franks

0368 – about 0438

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/MSD2-MQM>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Argotta-of-the-Franks/5412414922360067363>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Argotta-I537.htm>

This is where the FOUR different lines that I researched and defended seem to converge and diverge. King Pharamond is described as a fictional character on geni.com, but this is where everything converges either through him or his wife Argotta. This is it. This is the first milestone.

Two of my plausible patriarchal lines go through King Pharamond. A third one goes through Argotta and her other husband Roi des Francs Théodimir Richomeresson. The fourth one involves Siegbert I "The Lame" King of Cologne, Théodimir Richomeresson, King Pharamond, and a matriarchal line or two. That's basically the sum-total of my work on Family Search – to create these FOUR lines, to secure these lines, and to hold the line. It's amazing how many people are determined to destroy them.

King Siegbert I der Franken of Paris, of France of Austrasia-Metz

Siegbert I "The Lame" King of Cologne

0430 – 0509

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/K4F8-N94>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZGM-RN4>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Siegbert-I-the-Lame-King-of-the-Franks-at-Cologne/600000005440058476>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/genealogie-ron-roddenhof/I549209.php>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sigebert-De-Soissons-King-de-Cologne/6000000054282954920>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Clod%C3%A9ric-De-Soissons/6000000054283048916>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Mund%C3%A9ric-De-Soissons/6000000054283324830>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Roi des Francs Théodomir Richomeresson

0374 – 15 August 0414

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ6Y-PS1>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMMJ-WHD>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Chlod%C3%A9gar-king-of-the-Salian-Francs-at-Cologne/6000000011763953286>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Th%C3%A9od%C3%A9mir-King-of-the-Franks-at-Th%C3%A9rouanne/6000000003828105311>

The Théodomir Richomeresson line is a dead-end and quits. So clearly, I had to go with the King Pharamond line if I wanted to get to Adam along my patriarchal line through the Royal House of Troy.

Obviously, I'm not the first person to figure this out; but as far as I know, I am the first person on Family Search to forge a patriarchal line to Adam through the Kings of Troy. I did it on my own, on Family Search, before finding evidence of other peoples' success. I originally had to piece it together myself from multiple different online lineages, as this document attests.

I found the following a couple of months after my success. The information has been waiting online for a couple of years for someone to come along, find it, and enter it into Family Search.

<https://www.slideshare.net/PAULM594/adam-eve-7664178>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

This line from King Pharamond to Adam was all part of the stuff that the admins and others on Family Search kept deleting and changing and orphaning. Somebody doesn't want this to be true, nor do they want it on Family Search.

Well, it's there now, and I have started to document it and prove it. Someone had to, and it just as well be me.

45.

Marcomir I Merovech 5TH DUKE COLOGNE KING SICAMBRIAN EASTERN FRANK MEROVINGIAN

Marcomir (Marcomer) des Francs I (0347 – 0427)

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJMM-8SD>

<https://evolution-is-entropy.com/ausbert/>

Duke Marcomir V Merovingian of the East Franks

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-MarcomirV-I1080.htm>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8552&tree=tree1>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Marcomir-I-King-of-the-Franks-at-](https://www.geni.com/people/Marcomir-I-King-of-the-Franks-at-Cologne/6000000006127938104)

[Cologne/6000000006127938104](https://www.geni.com/people/Marcomir-I-King-of-the-Franks-at-Cologne/6000000006127938104)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006127938104.php>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Hatilde, mother of Pharamond

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000004191663288.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8693&tree=tree1>

Hildegonde

0351 – 0425

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ2H-SJ5>

Lady Ildegonde De Lombardy

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeLombardy-Ildegonde-I1081.htm>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Hildegonde-De-Cologne/600000000364966694>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Hatilde/6000000004191663288>

There are dozens of these Marcomir's on Family Search, and I simply tried to maintain and preserve one of them. I also spent days trying to merge some of this together only to have people go in and create additional ones. It's a never-ending process, and a waste of time.

This is a public domain document that I created and gave away for free, so somebody else can take it, update it, and use it long after I'm dead and gone. All I'm interested in is maintaining the line and preventing it from being deleted on Family Search, which is an impossible task to accomplish where Family Search is concerned, especially when the admins at Family Search start deleting the evidence, the individuals, the parents, and the supporting documents.

This is where I switch back to my favorite Pedigree Chart and carried the line back as far as I could get the Pedigree Chart to go:

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-MarcomirV-I1080.htm>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

46.

Clodius IV of the Franks

Chlodio IV, King of the Franks at Cologne

0324 – 0389

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LC XK-GNP>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6670&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Chlodio-IV-King-of-the-Franks-at-Cologne-Fictitious-Person/5586743481520107438>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-ClodiusIV-I648.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I5586743481520107438.php>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Queen Blesinda of the Suevi

Blesinde De Cologne (D'Alémanie)

about 0328 – 0403

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6QZ-R4F>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8526&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Blesinde-of-the-Alemanni/6000000003645688463>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeSueve-Blesinde-I715.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688463.php>

47.

Dagobert II "The Younger" Duke of the East Franks

Dagobert (der Ostfranken) des Francs Duke of the Salic Franks

0300 – 23 December 0379

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LC5B-WXH>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25743&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Dagobert-II-King-of-the-Salian-Franks-Fictitious-Person/4830138061050064024>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovigian-DagobertII-I559.htm>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I4830138061050064024.php>

Duchess Blesinde of the Sicambrian Franks

0285 – 0360

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZP2-JYZ>

Are we having fun yet?

48.

King Genebald I Merovingian, of the East Franks
Duke Genebald I Merovingian of the East Franks
Genebald (der Ostfranken) des Francs Duke of the East Franks
0262 – 0358

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9WM6-ZZG>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25744&tree=tree>
1

<https://www.geni.com/people/G%C3%A9n%C3%A9baud-II-duke-of-the-Salian-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000007817701285>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Merovingian-GenebaldI-I560.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000007817701285.php>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Anthildis Duchess des Sicambrian

<https://www.geni.com/people/Athildis-of-the-East-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000003645688481>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LHNV-GW7>

49.

Dagobert of East Franks King
Dagobert I the Great, duke of the Salian Franks
0230 – 19 January 0316

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZ3Q-1PT>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25745&tree=tree>
1

<https://www.geni.com/people/Dagobert-I-the-Great-duke-of-the-Salian-Franks/6000000000424639349>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-DagobertI-I561.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000424639349.php>

Queen Ildegonde di Lombardia Duchess of the East Franks
0235 – 0280

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LVSD-T1W>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Ildegonde-di-Lombardia/6000000001510616984>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001510616984.php>

50.

Walter De Cologne, roi des Francs Sicambriens

King Walter Mangus of the Salain Franks

Gauthier, King of the Sicambrian Franks

0217 – 0306

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/2N9S-VFF>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25746&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Gauthier-King-of-the-Sicambrian-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000006297017206>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Walter-I935.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006297017206.php>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

Queen Eurgen verch Llieffer, Queen of the East Franks

February 0210 – about 0300

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9CHB-ZS1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Eurgen-verch-Llieffer-Fictional/6000000012695851476>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000012695851476.php>

51.

King Chlodius III of Sicambrian Franks

0200 – 0289

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJDP-9Q7>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6681&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Chlodius-III-King-of-the-Sicambrian-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000003645688498>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-ClodiusIII-I1084.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688498.php>

Blesinde d'Alemania, Princess of the Suevi

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZBF-BBN>

52.

King Bartherus, King of the Franks

0180 – 0272

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LHKG-CJZ>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6692&tree=tree1>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Bartherus-I1089.htm>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Bartherus-King-of-the-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000003645688505>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688505.php>

Hermentude Queen of the West Franks

7 May 0180 – 9 December 0272

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L63D-B19>

53.

King Childéric I of the Franks

King Hilderic Mangus of the Salain Franks

0160 – 0253

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6B2-X9L>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6703&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Child%C3%A9ric-King-of-the-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000006128035244>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Hilderic-I1090.htm>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006128035244.php>

Queen Hastila King of the Salian Franks

Hastila Karana Franks

0160 – 0237

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LB7X-C5V>

https://www.myheritage.com/names/hastila_franks

54.

Sunno Huano Franks, King of the Franks

0140 – 0213

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9HLR-6MH>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25749&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sunno-king-of-the-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000003645688519>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Sunno-I1091.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688519.php>

Basilda Rugij Queen of the Franks

Queen Basilda RUGIJ of the Franks

0137 – 0191

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LV4K-FPH>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/stamboom-tolsma/I4770.php>

https://www.myheritage.com/names/basilda_franks

55.

Farabert King of the Franks

0122 – 0187

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/99G6-DN2>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25751&tree=tree>

[1](#)

<https://www.geni.com/people/Farabert-King-of-the-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000005954280764>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Farabert-I1092.htm>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005954280764.php>

Haslida Princess of Rugji

Queen of the Franks Hafilda Rugij

about 0122 – 0186

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L7PC-J8B>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Haslida-Princess-of-Rugji/6000000068344364883>

56.

Chlodomir IV roi des Francs

Clodomir IV, King of the Franks

21 December 0104 – April 0166

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L65M-H2T>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6714&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Clodomir-IV-King-of-the-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000005947129068>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-ClodimirV-I1093.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005947129068.php>

Princess Hasilda van Rugij

Princess Hafilda Of Rugij

0106 – 0179

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBZL-GKJ>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Hasilda-of-the-Rugij-Fictitious-Person/5416114463870046282>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Hafilda-I1094.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I5416114463870046282.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25753&tree=tree>

[1](#)

57.

King Marcomir IV Mangus of The Salain Franks & Gaul

King Marcomir IV of the Franks

0080 – 0149

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDC4-N5H>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6725&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Marcomir-IV-King-of-the-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000003645688544>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-MarcomirIV-I1095.htm>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688544.php>

Princess Althildis (Of Camulod) Ferch Coel of the Britons

Althildis Of The TRINOVANTES, Princess of the Britains

Athildis verch Coilus

Queen Atheldis of the Franks Princess of Britain

0092 – 0156

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LXLH-56P>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LD23-KK2>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Athildis-verch-Coilus-Fictitious-Person/6000000012720194653>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/FerchCoel-Althildis-I1096.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000012720194653.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6736&tree=tree1>

58.

King Odomir Mangus of the Salain Franks

Odomir IV, King of the Sicambrian Franks

Odomir King of the Franks

0060 – 0128

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9HL1-S1X>

http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25756&tree=tree_1

<https://www.geni.com/people/Odomir-IV-King-of-the-Sicambrian-Franks-Fictitious-Person/5269635826210068331>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Odomir-I1097.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I5269635826210068331.php>

Athidis II Queen of the Franks (Wife of Odomir)

0049 – 0135

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LXS1-TNG>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005947858730.php>

59.

Richemer I King of the Sicambrian Franks

0040 – 0114

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDRK-RW6>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6747&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Richemer-I-King-of-the-Sicambrian-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000011517309030>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Richemer-I1098.htm>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000011517309030.php>

Athildus Queen of the Salian Franks, Hasilda of the Franks

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6RV-9KB>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Athildus-Queen-of-the-Salian-Franks-Hasilda-Of-The-Franks/6000000073068275758>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Ascyla-I1099.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000008049414201.php>

60.

King Ratherius du West Franks

0010 – 0090

Ratherius of The Franks

0034 – 0090

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6RV-9DL>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/946P-HV7>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I6758&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Ratherius-King-of-the-Franks-Fictitious-Person/6000000005948503177>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Rathaerius-I1104.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005948503177.php>

Grotta Queen of the West Franks

0040 – 0100

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDC4-VQJ>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Grotte-of-the-Franks/6000000006127802871>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006127802871.php>

NOW, THIS IS WHERE IT GETS INTERESTING AND CONTENTIOUS AGAIN

61.

Antenor IV, King of the West Franks
0010 BC – 0069

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9HGQ-L45>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8892&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Antenor-IV-III-King-of-the-Franks-Fictitious/6000000003820960394>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-AntenorV-I1105.htm>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003820960394.php>

Antenor IV King of the Franks
0021 – 0079

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6G4-YLM>

<https://syntropy.site/line-to-adam/>

This is where the line back to Adam gets contentious and really interesting once again. But, we're not talking about the husband here, but his wife, Sarah-Damaris Bat Yeshuah.

Sarah-Damaris Bat Yeshuah
0020 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LY1Z-PWM>

Her parents are:

Jesus Christ
6 April 0001 – 4 April 0034

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1B1-135>

Maria Magdalena van Bethanie
0003 – 0063

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L5RY-ZZT>

I've seen all sorts of responses to this one – shock and horror tends to be the typical one. Such as, "How's this possible? Jesus didn't have a wife and children. Jesus couldn't have children."

The Greeks knew that Gods are men and women like us, immortal men and women. The Greeks also knew that the Gods could have mortal children. This knowledge was lost to the Christians when their churches rejected it.

We know for a fact that Jesus had to have a wife, or the Sanhedrin and Pharisees wouldn't have let him be a Rabbi or a teacher. Since Jesus had to have a wife in order to be a teacher, the real question is whether he and his wife had any children. According to legend, Jesus had two children, Sarah and Joseph, who are a couple of the children of Mary Magdalene. Obviously, there's no way to prove it false, because none of us were there to make sure that Jesus and Mary Magdalene didn't have children. So, we go with it because it's been documented and is in the records, and because it's impossible to prove that it is false.

Not wanting it to be true and deleting it from Family Search doesn't make it false.

Sometimes, though, the response is positive. For example:

You have done a beautiful job. I was doing my Genealogy today, working on the Royal Line. And guess whose name pop up in my face. Jesus Christ. I am just blown away. I didn't know Jesus was on my line. What a testimony. If it wasn't for your family working on this line. None of us would not have known, that Jesus Christ, our Lord, and Savior. Was on our line. And Mary and Joseph, Maria Magdalene. And of course. Jesus Christ's daughter. I wonder what descendant we come off. From Adam and Eve, line. What child do we come of off? That would wonderful to know. You found Jesus Christ. I am certain you will find Adam and Eve. You have done fantastic job. I am glad that I am part of it. Thank you so much. It's an honor to be a part of this line.

When I received this message, I checked the line back to Adam, and it had been destroyed once again on Family Search, so she couldn't get back to Adam through this line. I repaired the line again for the thousandth time, but there are no guarantees when it comes to Family search. The line will probably be destroyed again when you go looking for it.

On Family Search, the whole line is under attack, and it's a moving target.

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

Sarah-Damaris Bat Yeshuah

I used to be an atheist, but I'm no longer hiding from evidence like I used to do. I no longer have my head in the sand like it used to be.

Here are some links for those who are interested:

Sarah-Damaris Bat Yeshuah

0020 – Deceased

<https://philosophy-of-science.com/Sarah-Damaris/>

<https://quantum-neuroscience.com/sarah-damaris/>

<http://www.elishean.fr/sarah-damaris-la-fille-de-jesus/>

http://www.academia.edu/9412266/Us_Desposynies_History_of_the_Holy_Grail_Family

[https://www.geni.com/people/Wife-of-Antenor-IV-III-de-](https://www.geni.com/people/Wife-of-Antenor-IV-III-de-Franks/4211937467580053925)

[Franks/4211937467580053925](https://www.geni.com/people/Wife-of-Antenor-IV-III-de-Franks/4211937467580053925)

<https://www.geni.com/people/Sarah-Damaris/6000000036582653082>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/lelemva?lang=fr&p=sarah+damaris&n=bat+yeshuah>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/fr/stamboom-van-vuqt/I17525.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/lelemva?full=on&image=on&lang=fr&m=D&marriage=on&n=ben+yossef¬es=on&p=yeshuah&siblings=on&t=T&v=6#>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LY1Z-PWM>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LY1Z-PWM>

My goal is to get this information off of Family Search and to get it into a place where it can't be deleted and destroyed by the people at Family Search.

62.

Clodomir III Du Ilium - KING OF FRANKS

Chlodimir III, king of the West Franks

King Clodimir III Mangus of the Salain Franks

0018 – 0063

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L279-7RB>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8903&tree=tree1>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Chlodimir-III-king-of-the-West-Franks-](https://www.geni.com/people/Chlodimir-III-king-of-the-West-Franks-Fictitious/6000000002665440190)

[Fictitious/6000000002665440190](https://www.geni.com/people/Chlodimir-III-king-of-the-West-Franks-Fictitious/6000000002665440190)

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-ClodimirIII-I1106.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002665440190.php>

Hafeida the Rugij de France

Hafeida di Rugij

0042 BC – 0011

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L5GH-GH2>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Hafeida-di-Rugij/6000000040337942440>

63.

King Marcomir III, of The West Franks
King Marcomir III Mangus of the Salain Franks
0057 – 0050 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L69W-QZB>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8914&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Marcomir-III-King-of-the-West-Franks-Fictitious/6000000007241051639>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-MarcomirIII-I1107.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000007241051639.php>

Atheldis de Britain
Athildis Verch Franks
0013 – 0047

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBQ4-ZFQ>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Atheldis-de-Britain/6000000068345817409>

64.

King Chlodius II de Lorraine, roi des Francs
Chlodio II, King of the West Franks
Chlodio (Chlodion) des Francs II
0056 BC – 0031

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6V3-7G5>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8925&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Chlodio-II-King-of-the-West-Franks-Fictitious/6000000005951871390>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-ClodiusII-I1108.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005951871390.php>

Queen Frotmund de Frimutel
0059 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTML-PWS>

65.

Francio de Lorraine, roi des Francs occidentaux
about 0016 – 0050

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMZ8-48W>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5114&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Francio-King-of-the-West-Franks-Fictitious/4211948820110075094>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Francus-I1109.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I4211948820110075094.php>

Althildis Cole

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMZ8-3P4>

66.

Antharius of the Sicambrii, King of the Sicambrii

King Antharius Dela II (of Sicambri) King of Sicambrians

0125 – March 0063

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMZ8-4YV>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5125&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Antharius-King-of-the-Sicambrii-Fictitious-Person/6000000003645688614>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Antharius-I1110.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688614.php>

67.

Cassander, King of Sicambri

Cassander, King of the Sicambrii

26 May 0140 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJMS-H2Y>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5136&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Cassander-King-of-the-Sicambrii-Fictitious-Person/6000000006735851988>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Cassander-I1111.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006735851988.php>

This is one that was missing on Family Search and that I had to find and add into the line. It's the half-way point, as well, I believe.

Athildis Sicambri

0106 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LNDH-SNJ>

68.

Merodachus King of Sicamberi
Merodocus, King of the Sicambrii
King Merodachus Mangus of the Sciambri
0247 BC – 0195

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDZL-L2J>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5147&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Merodocus-King-of-the-Sicambrii-Fictitious-Person/6000000005953967324>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-Merodachus-I1112.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&n=des+sicambres&oc=0&p=merodacus>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005953967324.php>

This one is a real mess on Family Search. I was going to clean these things up for them; but, I'm not going to bother. They don't seem to care if it looks trashy and horrible on Family Search, so why should I?

69.

Chlodimir II de Lorraine, King of the Sicambrii
Clodomir II, King of the Sicambrii
King Clodimir II Mangus of the Sciambri
0180 BC – 0123 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6FC-6JH>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5158&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Clodomir-II-King-of-the-Sicambrii-Fictitious-Person/6000000005954052171>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-ClodimirII-I1113.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005954052171.php>

70.

Antenor III Ilium, King of Sicambri
Antenor II, king of the Sicambrii
King Antenor IV Mangus of the Sicambri
Antenor De Soissons
0225 BC – 0143

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRD3-MV2>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5169&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Antenor-II-king-of-the-Sicambrii/4255440919230051983>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-AntenorIV-I1114.htm>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I4255440919230051983.php>

Pick a number between zero and ten.

Cambra Orcades

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LVSD-X61>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-Orcades/6000000006093262176>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006093262176.php>

Depending upon how one chooses to look at it, seventy ends up being about the half-way point between me and Adam on Family Search.

71.

Clodius Ilium, King of Sicambri
King Clodius I Mangus of the Sciambri
Chlodius I, King of the Sicambrii
0250 BC – 0159 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRD3-M4P>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5180&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Chlodius-I-King-of-the-Sicambrii-Fictitious-Person/6000000006703703256>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-ClodiusI-I1115.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=clodius+1er&n=des+sicambres>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006703703256.php>

72.

Marcomir II Ilium, King of Sicambri

Marcomir II, King of the Sicambrii

0275 BC – 0198 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRD3-M2R>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5191&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Marcomir-II-King-of-the-Sicambrii-Fictitious-Person/4255446140690064778>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-MarcomirII-I1116.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=marcomir+ii&n=des+sicambres>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I4255446140690064778.php>

This is where the geni.com line ends and then has to be restarted through a different geni.com line. I learned to love the researched biographies at geni.com. I started to collect them onto Family Search but sometimes they get deleted by the admins at Family Search. It's a moving target. Lots of hit and miss.

73.

Nicanor, King of the Sicambrii Nicanor

King Nicanor I Mangus of the Sciambri

0232 BC – 0198

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LRD1-GZD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5213&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Nicanor-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000005814207031>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-NicanorI-I1117.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=nicanor&n=des+sicambres>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005814207031.php>

Constancia of Sicambri

Constance de BRETAGNE

Constancia of Britain

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTN4-57N>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=constance&n=de+bretagne>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006734147813.php>

Glennis DEE

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5225&tree=tree1>

74.

King Clodimir I Mangus of the Sciambri - Clodomir I of the Sicambri, King
Clodomir 1st OF THE SICAMBRES

Clodomir I King of Sicabri (von Köln) (of Sicambri) King of Sicabri

0270 BC – 0232 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LR2M-YHJ>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5236&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Clodomir-I-King-of-the->

[Sicambrii/6000000006451221636](https://www.geni.com/people/Clodomir-I-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000006451221636)

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Mangus-ClodimirI-I1118.htm>

[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard
&ocz=0&p=clodomir+1er&n=des+sicambres](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=clodomir+1er&n=des+sicambres)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006451221636.php>

Ermandrude de TEUTONIE

Delores ELAINE

0315 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMZP-ZKT>

[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard
&ocz=0&p=ermandrude&n=de+teutonie](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=ermandrude&n=de+teutonie)

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5247&tree=tree1>

Sedanus Queen of Sicamber

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBHV-M8C>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003637342012.php>

75.

Bassanus Magnus (King of the Sicambri)
Priest King Bassanus Magnus Of the Sciambri
Basan DES SICAMBRES
0339 BC – 0287 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L5NK-BYN>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5258&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Bassanus-Magnus-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000006703711294>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Magnus-Bassanus-I1121.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=basan&n=des+sicambres>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006703711294.php>

Princess of Norway Orcades – Princess of the Orkneys
Orkney of Orcades
0340 – 0274

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBHB-658>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Unknown-I1122.htm>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8191&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001210541525.php>

76.

King Diocles Ilium of Sicambria

Diocles DES SICAMBRES

0360 BC – 0294 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBHJ-TG9>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5280&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Diocles-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000003264458320>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Diocles-I1123.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=diocles&n=des+sicambres>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003264458320.php>

Sue Jane Orcades

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBHV-SHS>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002447039896.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5324&tree=tree1>

77.

Helenus IV King of Sicambri

Priest Helenus V of the Sea God Pallus

Helenus, IV Ilium, King of the Sicambri

Helenus V (Helenus V) of Sicambri V

0384 BC – 0338 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LT76-4RL>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5336&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Helenus-V-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000006703447226>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/HelenusV-I1124.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=helenus+1er&n=des+sicambres>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006703447226.php>

Clare Emaline De Arcadia

about 0384 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LV7T-TJP>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Clare-Emaline-of-Sicambri/6000000002447039891>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002447039891.php>

78.

Priamus V King of Sicambri

King Priamos V King of Sicambria

0425 BC – 0358 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6QB-J6X>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5358&tree=tree1>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Priamos-V-King-of-the-](https://www.geni.com/people/Priamos-V-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000003820960599)

[Sicambrii/6000000003820960599](https://www.geni.com/people/Priamos-V-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000003820960599)

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Priamus-I1125.htm>

[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=priam&n=des+sicambres)

[&ocz=0&p=priam&n=des+sicambres](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=priam&n=des+sicambres)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003820960599.php>

Cambra Queen of Sicamber of Troy

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LB9W-F1X>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-Queen-of-Sicamber-of-](https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-Queen-of-Sicamber-of-Troy/6000000005955263083)

[Troy/6000000005955263083](https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-Queen-of-Sicamber-of-Troy/6000000005955263083)

79.

Anténor III de Sicambri

Antenor II, King of the Sicambrii

0440 BC – 0384 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LT76-4QJ>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5369&tree=tree1>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Antenor-II-King-of-the-](https://www.geni.com/people/Antenor-II-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000007240633972)

[Sicambrii/6000000007240633972](https://www.geni.com/people/Antenor-II-King-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000007240633972)

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/AntenorIII-I1126.htm>

[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=antenor+ii&n=des+sicambres)

[&ocz=0&p=antenor+ii&n=des+sicambres](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=antenor+ii&n=des+sicambres)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000007240633972.php>

Cambre of Brittany

Cambre de BRETAGNE

Cambra De Friesland

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMZP-NGX>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7236&tree=tree1>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-of-Troy-Queen-of-the-](https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-of-Troy-Queen-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000003645811739)

[Sicambrii/6000000003645811739](https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-of-Troy-Queen-of-the-Sicambrii/6000000003645811739)

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/DeFriesland-Cambra-I1127.htm>

[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=cambre&n=de+bretagne)

[&ocz=0&p=cambre&n=de+bretagne](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=cambre&n=de+bretagne)

The Cimmerians

Every other day on Family search, this line from the Cimmerian Kings through the Trojan Kings gets changed and destroyed in some way. One of the things that can go wrong is that a patron adds in someone like Marcomir for the thousandth time as an orphan, your preferences get scrambled, and suddenly your family tree hits a dead-end when you try to parse it. That wouldn't happen if Family Search had an official line or a master line from Charlemagne to Adam that can't be deleted nor changed by the public. But guess what? Family Search doesn't have a core line that's indestructible, so anyone can go in there and delete or change your whole line in a matter of minutes if that's what they want to do. You have no protection against them on Family Search. The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints should change that, in my not so humble opinion. Anyway, I provide them with a line that can't be destroyed, which is the best that I can do for now. When I run the show, things will be different. Meanwhile, I will give you the KEYS to their kingdom, and maybe you can have a constructive influence too.

80.

Marcomir I Cimériens

King Marcomir I of the Cimmerians

about 0495 BC – about 0412 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LT76-FMD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5380&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/king-Marcomir-I-II-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000005955781679>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/MarcomirI-I1128.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=marcomir+1er&n=des+sicambres>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000005955781679.php>

Queen Leah of Sicambri (Paddam)

Princess Leia daughter of Padme

<https://www.geni.com/people/Queen-Leah-of-Sicambri/600000023390960239>

That galaxy isn't so far away after all.

Cambra King of Cimmerians (Van Friesland)

<https://www.geni.com/people/Cambra-King-of-Cimmerians/600000021066908614>

Cambra is also the wife of her son and grandson.

81.

King Antenor II of Cimmerians, King of the Cimmerians

Antenor II OVER KIMRERNE

Antenor IV / II (of Cimmerians) Prince of Ephraim, King of Cimmerians, Sicambri II

about 0512 BC – about 0422

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBK7-928>

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTB4-J9V>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5391&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Antenor-IV-II-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/6000000003820960633>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/AntenorII-I1129.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=antenor+ii&n=over+kimrerne>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003820960633.php>

Anna Paiga / Paige Queen of The Sicambri

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000007175966133.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5380&tree=tree1>

82.

Helenus IV King of the Cimmerians

Helenus IV (Or Ephraim) TROJANS

0518 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LB56-K94>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5413&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Helenus-IV-Ilium-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006736456074>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/HelenusIV-I1130.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=helenus+iv&n=over+kimrerne>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000006736456074.php>

Scythia Of Cimmerians

about 0518 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTBH-9Q3>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/Scythia-I1131.htm>

Marion Sue Cimmeria

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000005813809159.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I5424&tree=tree1>

83.

Priamus IV

Priam IV [Priamus] OVER KIMRERNE

King Priam, IV Ilium of Cimmerians, King of the Cimmerians

0540 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LBBC-H4T>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7247&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Priam-IV-Ilium-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006736402133>

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/PriamusIV-I1132.htm>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=priam+iv+priamus&n=over+kimrerne>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000006736402133.php>

All good things must come to an end.

This is where my favorite Pedigree Chart comes to an end, and I had to find another way. It went from Charlemagne to Priam IV. That was a good run; but, mine will be better. Mark my words.

<http://familytrees.genopro.com/Azrael/2243819/PriamusIV-I1132.htm>

A New Beginning

84.

King Marcomir I Cimmerians

0605 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LT9F-FLW>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7258&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Marcomir-I-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/6000000006736120565>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=marcomir&n=over+kimrerne>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006736120565.php>

This is where it completely fell apart on Family Search. Dozens of Marcomir's with absolutely NO source documentation to back up any one of them – often with no parents and no children! I found all I could and piled them under the same father. Then, I picked one of them and fleshed it out. I seem to be the only one on Family Search wanting to build and maintain a patriarchal line back to Adam. I seem to be the first person to have done so. I tied it all together where no one else could or would. As you can see, I had to make some adjustments so as to maintain my three or four separate source links.

So, what do you think? Can I build this patriarchal line back to Adam and have it stay intact on Family Search for more than a couple of days?

NO! I haven't been able to do it. It keeps getting deleted and destroyed and changed.

What I can do, though, is document my line, provide source links for each person, and then save my documentation someplace else where nobody at family search can get at it and destroy it.

That was my big change – my major breakthrough – to start documenting my patriarchal line external to Family Search where it cannot be deleted by the people at Family Search.

So here goes. This is the stretch that's constantly under attack and always being deleted and changed. I'm going to do what I can to make it rock solid.

I started by attaching the dozen different Marcomirs to one single parent, instead of a half a dozen different parents. Read-only files won't allow me to do that forever on Family Search; but, I was able to do it here, so I did it. The goal here with the Kings of Troy was to consolidate all the duplicates under one single parent as much as possible; and, I succeeded. It's good now; but, it won't last on Family Search.

85.

King Dilugio II Cimmerians
King Dilulius II of Cimmerians
Diluglio (Dilulius II) TROJANS
0635 BC – 0570 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LYL>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7269&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Dilulius-II-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006736428158>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000006736428158.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=dilulius+ii&n=over+kimrerne>

86.

King Plaserio III Cimmerians
King Plaserius III of Cimmerians
Plaserius III King of the Cimmerians TROJANS
0665 BC – 0606 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LYK>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7280&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Plaserius-III-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006736365164>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000006736365164.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=plaserius+iii&n=over+kimrerne>

87.

King Helenus III Cimmerians
0695 BC – 0606 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTQ7-F48>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7291&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Helenus-III-II-de-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000000424693422>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000000424693422.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=helenus+iii&n=over+kimrerne>

88.

King Deluglius I Cimmerians

King Diluglio Dilulius I TROJANS

Dilulius I, King of the Cimmerians

Diluglie (Diluglic Dilulius) (of Cimmerians) King of Cimmerians I

0725 BC – 0640 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTSC-S2L>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7302&tree=tree1>

[https://www.geni.com/people/Dilulius-I-King-of-the-](https://www.geni.com/people/Dilulius-I-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006451651371)

[Cimmerians/600000006451651371](https://www.geni.com/people/Dilulius-I-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006451651371)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000006451651371.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard>

[&ocz=0&p=dilulius+1er&n=over+kimrerne](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=dilulius+1er&n=over+kimrerne)

89.

King Almadius Cimmerians

King Almadion (Almadius) TROJANS

King Almadius I of Cimmerians

0755 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LYD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7313&tree=tree1>

[https://www.geni.com/people/King-Almadius-I-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-](https://www.geni.com/people/King-Almadius-I-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006451858095)

[Cimmerians/600000006451858095](https://www.geni.com/people/King-Almadius-I-of-Cimmerians-King-of-the-Cimmerians/600000006451858095)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000006451858095.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard>

[&ocz=0&p=almadius&n=over+kimrerne](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=almadius&n=over+kimrerne)

Almadius Rei de Cimmerians

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LYD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7313&tree=tree1>

I went into Family Search today, and Family Search or the patrons had destroyed my patriarchal line once again. This is where my patriarchal line ended today. Either they have somebody new who doesn't know what he's doing; or, they are out to get me. Anyway, it's very frustrating to waste one's time on Family Search. No wonder the LDS family history consultants hate Family Search and avoid it like a plague. My patriarchal line to Adam lasted for a couple of days this time around before Family Search and/or the patrons destroyed it or dead-headed it again.

It's annoying when they orphan your line; but, I have proceeded to merge everything under one set of parents here, so hopefully it will be a bit more obvious and stable.

The cool thing about making a line back to Adam is that once you have done it before, you can always do it some more. The bad thing is that the admins and patrons at Family Search are determined to destroy it and end it.

The patrons at Family Search add in people without attaching any parents, and then their mess gets mixed in with the rest. These people create orphans everywhere they go. This wouldn't happen if Family Search had a solid indestructible core that can't be deleted nor changed by the public. All of these additions and changes could just be merged into the core or deleted if they are duplicate information – if Family Search had a core. But guess what? Family Search doesn't have a master record or an indestructible core. On Family Search, you can destroy years of other peoples' work in a matter of minutes if you want to do so. There's nothing to stop you from doing so. You don't even have to hack the system in order to destroy the system.

Can you see anything wrong with that?

Houston, we have a problem.

This thing could be solved in a matter of days if Family Search were to implement an indestructible core or master record on Family Search. They already have the means to do so; but, they refuse to do so.

The best I can do is to merge some of these together into one and see if I can get it to hold for more than a day.

90.

King Getmalor Cimmerians

King Getmalor Gentilanor TROJANS

Gentilanor / Getmalor V King of Trojans

Gentilanor OVER KIMRERNE

0785 BC – 0625 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTSZ-6TR>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7324&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Gentilanor-Getmalor/6000000003645688752>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688752.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=gentilanor&n=over+kimrerne>

91.

Priam Ilium III, Trojan

King Priam III Of The TROJANS

0815 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTSZ-ZVL>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7336&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Priam/6000000006452369023>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006452369023.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=priam+iii&n=over+kimrerne>

92.

King Alexander Cimmerians

King Alexandre of TROY

about 0845 BC – about 0677

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTSZ-CKR>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7347&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Alexandre/6000000006451716910>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006451716910.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=alexandre&n=de+troie>

93.

King Basabelian II Cimmerians

King Basabiliano (Basabelian II) TROJANS

0875 BC – about 0790 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/M115-7VP>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7369&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Basebellian-II/6000000003102694022>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003102694022.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=basebelian+ii&n=de+troie>

94.

Plesron II - KING OF TROY

about 0920 BC – about 0850 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6F8-592>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7380&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Piesron-II/6000000002668640080>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002668640080.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=plesron+ii&n=de+troie>

95.

Helenus II, King of Troy

King Helenus II TROJANS

0935 BC – 0800 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKPQ-3SD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7391&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Helenus-II-King-of-Troy-Fictitious/6000000006452642127>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006452642127.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=helenus+ii&n=de+troie>

96.

King Priam II CIMMERIANS TROJANS

Priam II Trianus King of Troy

Priam II ([Priamus] de TROIE

0965 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LT1>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7413&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Priam-II/6000000006452712065>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006452712065.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=priam+ii+priamus&n=de+troie>

97.

Antenor I Trojan King of Troy
King Antenor I TROJANS
0995 BC – 0935 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LTY>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7424&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Antenior-I/6000000001050026007>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001050026007.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=antenor+1er&n=de+troie>

98.

Plaserius II King of Troy
King Plesario Plaserius II TROJANS
Plaserius (Plaserio) II, King of Troy
1025 BC – 0930 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LTT>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7435&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Plaserius-II-of-Troy/6000000001500761864>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001500761864.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=plaserius+ii&n=de+troie>

99.

Gaberiano Zaberian King of Troy
1055 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LT5>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7491&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Gaberiano/6000000000424696570>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000424696570.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=zaberian&n=de+troie>

100.

Eliacor I King of Troy

1085 BC – 0975

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LTL>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7502&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Eliacor/6000000003102620311>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003102620311.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=eliacor&n=de+troie>

101.

Plesron I King of Troy

1100 BC – 1050 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LT2>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7513&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Plesron-I/6000000001500668732>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001500668732.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=plesron+1er&n=de+troie>

102.

Plaserius I Troy

Plaserio (Plaserius I) TROJANS

1130 BC – 0950 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTSZ-J93>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7524&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Plaserio/600000000424696604>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000000424696604.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=plaserius&n=de+troie>

103.

Basabelian I King of Troy

Basabiliano (Basabelian I) TROJANS

1148 BC – 1000 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8RL-LTV>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7546&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Bosabilliano/6000000005956565408>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005956565408.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=basabelian+1er&n=de+troie>

104.

King Zelius Gelio Troy

Gelio Zelius TROJANS

Gelio (Selio Zelius Gelso) King of Troy

1215 BC – 1010 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LTSZ-Y9H>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7558&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Gelio/6000000005956500792>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000005956500792.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=zelius&n=de+troie>

Because of the goofy name, there are dozens of these on Family Search. That wouldn't be the case if Family Search had a master record for each person that can't be touched nor deleted by the public. I wasn't able to find them all. I did pretty good, though, considering what I had to work with.

105.

King Esdron of Troy

1245 BC – 1060

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KN1Z-HMC>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7569&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Esdron-of-Troy/3201962>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I3201962.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=esdron&n=de+troie>

Mammon

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LXMQ-LGC>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Mammon/6000000003681515715>

There are still a bunch of orphaned Esdrons on Family Search. Their search feature doesn't seem to find them all.

106.

Francus - KING OF TROY

King Franco (Francus) TROJANS

1265 BC – 1100

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/94FK-DV6>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7591&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Francio-King-of-the-Cimmerians/6000000010771481142>

<https://www.geni.com/people/King-Francio-Don-t-merge/6000000073514795918>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000010771481142.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=francus&n=de+troie>

107.

Genger (Zenter) TROJANS

King Zenter Cestrinus Genger Troy

King Cestrinus Genger King of the Sythians/Epirus, King of Troy

1300 BC – 1149

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GMCK-H58>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7602&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Genger/6000000001724433376>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001724433376.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=zenter&n=de+troie>

108.

King Helenus Epirius TROJANS

Hélénos [Helenus] de TROIE

1350 BC – 1149 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9CQX-R8T>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7624&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Helenus/6000000006736471174>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006736471174.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=helenos+helenus&n=de+troie>

Queen Andromache

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7635&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Andromache/6000000001564101001>

Queen Hecuba Of Epirus da Scythia

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002447043067.php>

Deidamia

<https://www.geni.com/people/Deidamia/6000000003796308875>

109.

Priam King of Troy

King Priamos (Priam) Of The TROJANS

Podarcès Priam from TROIE

1320 BC – 1240

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/93J2-WCN>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7669&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Priam-king-of-Troy/6000000003645688855>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003645688855.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=podarcès+priam&n=de+troie>

Hecuba, princess of Phrygia

Hécube (of Troy) Princess of Phrygia I

Hécube [Hecuba] de PHRYGIE

Hecuba Queen of Troy

1235 BC – 1193 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKKT-C1J>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I28241&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Hecuba-princess-of-Phrygia/600000000409921700>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000000409921700.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=hecube+hecuba&n=de+phrygie>

110.

King Laomedon of Troy

1310 BC – 1235 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L26Q-RF6>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7702&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Laomedon-king-of-Troy/600000000189910737>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000000189910737.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=laomedon&n=de+troie>

Strymo Placia of TROY

1290 BC – 1200 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJRW-1MW>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I28660&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Placia-Strymo-Queen-of-Troy/6000000011177462570>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000011177462570.php>

111.

King Illus of TROY

about 1315 BC – about 1279 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1BK-SBD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7724&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/illus-of-Troy-King-of-Troy/6000000001292589874>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001292589874.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=ilos+ii&n=de+troie>

Queen Eurydice Of Troy

Eurydice (of Troy) de Argos

about 1335 BC – about 1279 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/GM7S-9L3>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7735&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Eurydice-Queen-of-Troy/6000000001292514586>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001292514586.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=eurydice&n=.>

112.

Tros (Trois) of Acadia King of Troy

about 1375 BC – about 1328 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9HXM-9Q4>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7757&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Tros-King-of-Troy/6000000006375779159>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006375779159.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=tros&n=de+troie>

Queen Callirhoe of the TROJANS

1361 BC – 1279 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1Y4-XHF>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7768&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Callirhoe-Queen-of-Troy/600000000056601869>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000000056601869.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=callirhoe&n=.>

113.

King Erichthonius of Troy

about 1420 BC – about 1368 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LXQ6-LHY>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7792&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Erichthonius-king-of-Dardania/6000000007236373288>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000007236373288.php>

<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=erichthonios&n=de+troie>

Astyoche

Astyoche of ACADIA

Astyoche, Queen of Troy, Dardania and Acacia

about 1420 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LXQ6-L9Z>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7803&tree=tree1>

<https://www.geni.com/people/Astyoche-Queen-of-Troy-Dardania-and-Acacia/6000000003086812021>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003086812021.php>

[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=astyoche+1er&n=.](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=astyoche+1er&n=)

114.

Dardanus at Dardania founder of TROY
King Darda (Dardanus) Of DARDANIA
Dardanos of TROIE
Darda / Dardanus (of Judahites) King of Arcadia
1519 BC – 1414 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9HJ8-F54>
<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7814&tree=tree1>
<https://www.geni.com/people/Dardanus-king-of-Dardania/6000000006375582740>
<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006375582740.php>
<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=dardanos&n=de+troie>
<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam05829.html>

Batea Princess of Teucri
Basia Asia / Batieia / Arisbe / Batea Queen of Dardania, of Teucri
Batia de TROIE

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L2MC-BHB>
<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I7825&tree=tree1>
<https://www.geni.com/people/Basia-Queen-of-Dardania/600000000424692724>
<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I600000000424692724.php>
<https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=batia&n=de+troie>

THIS IS WHERE THE LINE CAME TO AN END ON FAMILY SEARCH.

Why?

It's because Dardanus is the son of Zeus and Electra, one of the Pleiades, according to Greek Mythology. Zeus is fiction, and he doesn't descend from Adam.

Zeus, fictional father of Dardanus

<https://www.geni.com/people/Dardanus-king-of-Dardania/6000000006375582740>
<https://www.geni.com/people/Zeus/6000000011217026992>
[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=zeus&n=.](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=zeus&n=)

Electra Pléiade, fictional mother of Dardanus

[https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=electra&n=.](https://gw.geneanet.org/chevalard?lang=en&pz=romy+jade+ambre&nz=chevalard&ocz=0&p=electra&n=)

Two of our lines, though, got it right. In order to know who Dardanus' real parents are, one must turn to Biblical scholarship – the history of the people.

115.

Zarah ben Judah father of DARDANUS the Founder of TROY

1565 BC – 1634 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDLR-Z8Z>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8169&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000435376366.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02519.html>

Electra ROMA

Electra Pleiade

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L1BK-HWY>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25757&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I60000000002753011480.php>

Now, we switch over to the Bible and have a straight shot to Adam.

The Patriarchs

When I got to the patriarchs on Family Search, they had all been scrambled, dead-ended, and destroyed. Nothing went straight through for me. I remember thinking when I first got my line to the Patriarchs on Family Search that these guys ought to show some pride in their program, rather than letting it become the mess that it had become. It was horrible. It was in shambles. It was like, "Does anybody even work here?" It was a huge disappointment.

I fixed it for them. It's what I do. I spent a day, cleaned it up, and merged a pile of the garbage together into one. I also got some pictures for the ones I chose to keep. There were half a dozen different Adams at the end of the line, and I merged them into one and enhanced the life sketch. It's already being destroyed. That's what they do on Family Search. They destroy and chaos reigns supreme; but, you can always have it good for a day on Family Search if you want to. I made it good for them so that they can destroy it once again.

This patriarchal line through the Patriarchs that I consolidated on Family Search seems to be holding, which is good news. It's hard for them to dispute the Bible.

116.

Judah ben Jacob

about 1824 BC – 1720 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJYB-SBS>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002095965033.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8369&tree=tree1>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03775.html>

Tamar

about 1753 BC – about 1500 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKVB-MJZ>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000792571968.php>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8180&tree=tree1>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03774.html>

117.

Jacob Ben Isaac

about 1892 BC – 1745 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKZK-9XH>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8391&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002452583405.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03065.html>

Leah Bint LEBAN

19 April 1836 BC – 15 August 1786 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKZK-9X1>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8402&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000453308274.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03065.html>

118.

Isaac ben Abraham

16 March 1952 BC – about 1774 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L5L2-43N>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8435&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002452409934.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02734.html>

Rebekah Bint Bethuel

about 1937 BC – about 1720 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/2S6B-LJK>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8491&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006375868299.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02734.html>

119.

Abram or Abraham ben Terah

about 2052 BC – about 1877 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6B5-RJM>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8546&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I4008970325070048050.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02548.html>

Sarai

about 2040 BC – about 1913 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LFNF-W2Q>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8558&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000453290578.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02548.html>

120.

Terah ben Nahor

King Terah, of Agade

1883 – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9M2C-5RL>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I8580&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006375868336.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02548.html>

Amethelo bint Cornebo, mother of Abraham

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKRY-CQP>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02547.html>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003172368912.php>

Tohwait Bint Sensusret, mother of Sarah, Abraham's wife

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L4Q4-32V>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02546.html>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006032709002.php>

121.

Nahor ben Serug

about 2151 BC – 2060 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/K8LL-4N3>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9291&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002560330773.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02735.html>

Iyaseka of Chaldea

2161 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L61H-JD5>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02735.html>

Jaska (Ijaska) bint Kheber

about 2150 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L2ZL-2K1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003233561772.php>

122.

Serug ben Reu

about 2181 BC – about 1951 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDYM-73M>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9302&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006375796773.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam05664.html>

Melka Bint Kaber

about 2200 BC – 1878 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L63D-N28>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9402&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000008004454810.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam05664.html>

123.

Reu ben Peleg

about 2213 BC – about 1974 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZVY-PMM>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9480&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006376020144.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03780.html>

Ora bat Ur

Ora Chaldees

2017 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9QQJ-392>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003233561957.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03780.html>

124.

Peleg ben Eber

about 2243 BC – about 2004 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LH7M-MFL>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9491&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000190073564.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03781.html>

Lomna bint Shinar

Lomna Bint (Siniah) Shinaar

2244 BC – about 2004 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJJS-JHX>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001048426658.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03781.html>

125.

Eber ben Shelah

about 2277 BC – about 1813 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKD6-78C>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9502&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006375806579.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03782.html>

Queen Azarad bint Cainan, Queen of Babylon

Azarad (Melka Azurad)

Azarad Bint Nebrod

2277 BC – 1813

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L24G-H9B>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000012862166215.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03782.html>

126.

Shelah ben Cainan (Kenan)

King Salah (Shelah)

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/KL6N-H4W>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9669&tree=tree1>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03783.html>

Mu'ak (Muak) Bint Kesed

2255 BC – about 2155 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LR99-X4G>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9702&tree=tree1>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03783.html>

Shelah's or Salah's parentage is in dispute. Some sources have him as the son of Cainan, and other sources have him as the son of Arphaxad. This reality is reflected on Family Search; and, I left both parents in place on Family Search because that's the way we deal with a disputed parentage on Family Search.

I had to clean this up and make it presentable. That wouldn't have been necessary if they had a solid indestructible core on Family Search.

127.

Cainan Ben Arphaxad

about 2311 BC – about 1878 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LV2Y-GDH>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25747&tree=tree1>

Melka bint Madai

about 2326 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L2SG-18P>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25748&tree=tree1>

128.

Arphaxad Ben Shem

King Arphaxad of ARRAPACHTIS

Arpachshad, King of Arrapachtis (Arpaxad)

2346 BC – 1908 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L2KB-RHD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9735&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001713796004.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02373.html>

Rasu'eya bint Elam

Rasu Eja or Rasueja

2342 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDLP-28F>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9746&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000007555119.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02373.html>

129.

Shem ben Noah

2468 BC – 1846 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L787-RCS>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9757&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001713807287.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02545.html>

Sedeqetelebab Ollo bint Eliakim

2378 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LJLF-27N>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I9768&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000007428773.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02545.html>

130.

Noah ben Lamech

about 2944 BC – 1944 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDP6-Y1L>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I10158&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002145556219.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam05152.html>

Titea Emzara bint Rake'el

Titea Emzara (Naamah)

Titea "Tytea" Emzara, Bint Rake'el

before 2515 BC – 1993 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L5KD-L1T>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I10169&tree=tree1>

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000794735331.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam05152.html>

131.

Lamech ben Methuselah

about 3126 BC – about 2349 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LD19-FXX>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I13892&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002293750694.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam05702.html>

Ashmua (Betenos) bar BARAKI'LL

3050 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8R2-NGJ>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I21702&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006978404012.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam05702.html>

132.

Methuselah ben Enoch

about 3317 BC – about 2348 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZDQ-HFV>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I21819&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000002638556173.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02540.html>

Edna bint Azriel

3380 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/9WP8-G7L>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I24281&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000008593142436.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam02540.html>

133.

Enoch ben Jared

about 3378 BC – about 3013 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LXS5-D8V>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I23752&tree=tree>

[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006376093098.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03784.html>

Edna bint Danal

3364 BC – 2353 BC

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I24281&tree=tree>

[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000008460535927.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03784.html>

134.

Jared Ben Mahalalel

about 3540 BC – about 2578 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L298-HYJ>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I24335&tree=tree>

[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000001048812668.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03785.html>

Baraka bint Rasujal

Baraka / Baltsa / Beltsea (Bã Raka)

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6C8-J9L>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I24383&tree=tree>

[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000007362839204.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03785.html>

135.

Mahalalel ben Kenan

about 3605 BC – about 2710 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LDYM-75P>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I24396&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000006376131062.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03786.html>

Dinah "Sina" bint BARAKIEL

Dinah / Dîmâh / 'sina / Simaan' (Sinah)

about 3605 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L6QP-3D2>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I24914&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003637348181.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03786.html>

136.

Kenan ben Enosh

about 3675 BC – about 2765 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LV33-D9C>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I24931&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000190220038.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03788.html>

Mualeleth bint Enos

Mualeleth (Mûalêlêth)

about 3675 BC – about 2860 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L4BH-J7W>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25391&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000794353246.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03788.html>

137.

Enosh ben Seth

Enos (Enosh)

3769 BC – 2864 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LZJ1-H8K>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25453&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000190131271.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03789.html>

Noam bint Seth

about 3702 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L87Q-D7Q>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25471&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000794350170.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03789.html>

138.

Seth ben Adam

about 3870 BC – about 2958 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LKYG-Y9K>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25509&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I60000000006375916501.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03790.html>

Azura or Akilia bint Adam

Azûrâ / Aklia / Akilia / Aklemia (Azûrâ)

about 3865 BC – Deceased

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LD57-TZD>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25554&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000000794192541.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03790.html>

139.

Adam Ben Elohim, The First Man of the World

4000 BC – 3070 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/LV57-NWM>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25556&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000003538706117.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03791.html>

Eve Bint Elohim, The First Woman of the World

about 3999 BC – 3069 BC

<https://www.familysearch.org/tree/person/details/L8R2-JBH>

<http://www.avocadoridge.com/carney/getperson.php?personID=I25557&tree=tree>
[1](#)

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I298349057780005454.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam03791.html>

140.

God the Eternal Father

God Almighty

Yahweh

<https://www.genealogieonline.nl/en/stamboom-homs/I6000000004006561070.php>

<https://sites.rootsweb.com/~mwgrogan/data/fam04581.html>

Heavenly Mother

Adam and Eve's parents are added to Family Search and deleted from Family Search every day. The Rib shows up weekly as well. Family Search deletes Adam and Eve's parents every other day; and, when the admins at Family Search delete a person that person really is gone, or at least their search engine won't bring up anything.

The Bible tells us that Adam was a son of God ([Luke 3: 38](#)). We didn't descend from apes. That's physically and scientifically impossible. Genetics and entropy combine to prevent descent from apes or anything else non-human. That's what genes were designed to do – prevent us from evolving into something else. It's physically impossible for two genetically compatible Mr. and Mrs. Mutants to be born at the same place at the same time for millions of years on end so that one species can evolve into a different species naturally. It can't be done, which means it wasn't done. Mark my words.

I Got to the End of the Line

And there you have it. Mission accomplished. I got to Adam through Family Search. Now Family Search has an official undeletable core off-site that can't be deleted by anyone at Family Search. Obviously, people can delete anything they want at Family Search; but, this document can be used to restore what they delete.

I've gotten this patriarchal line to Adam recorded and separated from Family Search where the people at Family Search can't delete it and destroy it. Now I can walk away from Family Search without feeling like I left something important behind. They want me out of there, I'm out of there. Good enough. Everyone wins. I can stop wasting my time; and, they can rule over the wreckage and chaos as they see fit. It's a dump.

I was good at this. I was born for it, prepared for it, guided to it, called to it, loved it, and tried to do some good while I was there. But, when the admins and moderators turn against you and start destroying your work, there's no sense fighting them because there's no way to win. You just turn off your computer and walk away.

To those who choose to continue the fight, I wish you well. May the force be with you because the admins at Family Search and the people behind Family Search certainly won't be.

Mark My Words

<https://amazon.com/author/science>

--

My Official Version of this booklet on Kindle:

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07KVM3BBX>

--

The Official Web Pages:

<https://scientific-proof-of-god.com/getting-to-adam/>

<https://markme.us/getting-to-adam/>

--

Original Version: 24NOV2018

Current Version: 25NOV2018